वीर	सेवा	म निद	₹
	दिल्लं	ì	
	*		
	4. 274	623	
क्रम संख्या	274	R	EA
काल नं०			
खण्ड			

# ARCH MOLOGICAL SURVEY OF INDIA

NEW IMPERIAL SERIES, VOLUME XXXIV.

SOUTHERN INDIA, VOLUME XI.

# PALLAVA ARCHITECTURE.

### ARCHÆOLOGICAL SURVEY OF INDIA.

### NEW IMPERIAL SERIES, VOLUME XXXIV.

# PALLAVA ARCHITECTURE.

BY

ALEXANDER REA, F.S.A. SCOT., M.R.A.S.,

SUPERINTENDENT, ARCHAROLOGICAL SURVEY DEPARTMENT, SOUTHERN CIRCLE, INDIA,

AND

HONORARY ASSISTANT SUPERINTENDENT, GOVERNMENT MUSEUM, MADRAS.

## INDOLOGICAL BOOK HOUSE

ANTIQUARIAN BOOKSELLERS & PUBLISHERS VARANASI (INDIA), 1970.

#### ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

Published by rameshwar singh for indological book house, varanasi (india), printed at today & tonorrow's Printers & Publishers, Faridabad (haryana)

# CONTENTS.

												PAGE
Introductory	* *	••	• •	• •	• •	**				••	••	1
History and Architecture			• •	• •		• •			**		••	**
Pallava Architecture			**	• •		••					• •	10
Kailasanadha Temple, Ka	lächip	uram	• •		.,	••			**		• •	18
Plan of the templ		• 1	••	**	• •	• •		* *	••	••		19
Details of Archite	cture	and Sor	dpture		**	• •	••	••	••		••	21
Description of the	temp	le	• •	••	• •		• •	••	••			21
Rathas		• •	• •	• •					**	••	• •	22
Exterior of the Co	part	••	••	4.		••	• 1	••	• •	••	• •	25
Interior of the Sm	all (E	last) Cor	urt	••	• •	••	••	••	••	••		25
Mahêndravarmês	rara 8	hrine	.,		.,	••		• •		••	• •	27
Exterior of Mahê:	ndrav	armétva	ra Gri	ham	••		• 1		••	• •		28
East Doors to the	Inne	r Court		* *	••	••	••	4 *		. 1	••	28
Inner Court	••	••	• •	• •	• •	••	••		.,	• •		29
Cell Sculptures	• •		••	**	••	••	• •	4.	• •	••		29
Cells on the West	inne	r side of	the O	ourt W	all	••	• •	• •	••	••	• •	88
Cells on the North	h side	of the C	ourt			**					• •	88
East side of the C	ourt	14	••	••	••		• •	• •	4.4	• •	0.4	35
Mahamandapam	• •				••	• •		••	• •		• •	36
Ardhamandapam	• •		٠.			• •	• •	• •	* 1	••		38
Vimana Tower	• •	••		• •	• •	••			••	••		49
Vaikuptha Perumal Tem	ole, K	Anchipu	ram	4.1	••	**			• •	•	••	43
Måtangeévara Temple, K		_		**					••			45
Mukteevara Temple, Kan	chipu	ram		• •	• •				4.6	••	**	46
Triparantakésvara Templ			9.00			**	6.4	• •	••		**	47
Airavatésvara Temple, K	Lichij	puram		**				4.4	**	**		48
Perumal Temple, Kûram	••	••			••		• •	••	4.4	• •	••	49
PLATES				•						4.4	at the	end.

# LIST OF PLATES.

PLATES.		Dusca	IPTION.							
I,	South-east view of Kaildsan	ådha temple	**		• •	• •	• •	••		At the end.
II.	North-east view of	do.	• •	**			••	• •		*)
III.	Do.	do.	11	**	• •		••		••	1)
IV.	Back view of	do.	••	**	• •	••	• •	••	.,	1)
₹.	View of second main entran	00 gdpurma, I	aflåpar	adba:	temple		• •	••		11
VI.	South corridor from the east	and portion	of mai	a shrin	e, Ka	likeans	dhe te	mple	••	79
VII.	West corridor from the west	t and portion	of mai	ahrin	4,	ć	lo.		••	31
VIII.	North corridor from the we	st and portion	of mai	n shri	ne,		do.		.,	19
IX.	North corridor from the east	t and portion	of mai	n shrin	10,	(	lo			11
TX,	View of main shripe, Kalla	sanadha temp	le	••	4		* *			11
XI.	Piers in the front mandapam	, Kailasanadi	ha temj	ole	• •	••			, .	"
XII.	Panels on the back of the 6	iarbhagriham,	Kullas	intdhe	temp	o		4.7	• •	**
XIII.	Panels on the North side of	the Garbhage	rikam,	do.	-			• •		••
XIV.	South-west view of Vaikup	the Perumal	temple	.,	• •			**		1)
XV.	South-east view of	do.		**	• •	• •	* 1	• •		19
XVI.	South-west view of Matang	divare temple	ð	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •		**
XVII.	South-east view of	do.	• •	14	**	• •	• •	• •		
XVIII.	South-west view of Muktiti	vara temple	• •	••	• •		• •			"
XIX.	South-east view of	do.	**	* *	• •			• •		,,
XX.	North-west view of Tripura	ntakéévara be	mple		• •	* *	* 1		**	1)
XXI.	Figures 1 and 2-Two pills	hA ts seusd Ti	litya-Ti	rtha T	ank, F	Cacheir	rara te	mple		9)
XXII.	Pillar in a mandapam in Sir	igarantr Odai	Street,	Kano	hipure	HIT	• •		• •	**
XXIII.	Figures 1 to 8-bases at th	e tank, Kach	lávara :	temple	, KAR	hipara	m	• •		1)
XXIV.	Kailasnnadha temple, Kane	ohipuram	• •	٠,			• •	11		,,
XXV.	East exterior elevation—Ka	sildeanádha te	elqm	• •	••	• •	**	• •		**
XXVI.	South elevation—KailAsan	idha temple	• •			• •		• •		**
XXVII	Hast elevation of Mahandre	evarma shrine	, Kaili	banad	ha tem	ple		• •		"
XXVIII	Panel on left side of entrac	ice to Garbhay	riham,	đơ	٠,		• •		••	**
XXIX	I'anel on left east doorway	to large cour	tyard,	do	),		.,			,,
XXX	Panel on right east doorwa	y to large con	urt,	do	).		• •		• •	1)
	East interior elevation of or			đo	-		• •	•	.,	99
XXXII.	Figures 1 and 2-Panels o	u south side of	oourt	(Nos. 2	and 8	, from	east en	d), Kai	Man-	
	nadha temple	••	••	• •		••			* *	**
XXXIII.	Figures 1 to 6—4th, 5th, 6	*	and 11t	h pane	els from	n east	end of	court,	south	
	side, Kailasanadha temp		• •	4.4	1.4	• •		* *	• •	**
XXXIV.	Figures 1 and 2-Panels 1	and 18, from	east of	id of ea	outh at	de of o	ourt, K	ailtean	Adba	,
	temple		**		• •	• •	, ,	• •	1.	99
XXXV.	Figures 1 and 2-Panels 1	4th and 18th	from es	bae te	of sav	th side	of cor	ert, Ka	ilann-	
	nādha temple	**			**	• •		• •	••	
XXXXI	Figures   and 9-Panels 1	6th and 17th	from e	end	of rou	th side	e of cor	art, Ka	iláse	
1	nAdha temple	** **	4 •		**	* *	* *	••		79
	South interior elevation of						**			••
XXVIII	Pigures 1 and 1-Panels o		of own	at (Mi	n. 18	and 1	0, from	a onst	end)	•
	Kullianuidha temple									

PLATES.	DESCRIPTION.			
XXXIX.	Figures 3 and 5-Panels 10th and 11th from east end of western side	of a		
	Kailasenadha temple	••	• •	At the end
	Figure. 2-Pillar in Ardhamandapam, Kailasanadha temple		***	,,
	Figures 1 and 4-20th and 21st panels from east end of north side of cour	, Kn	11 <b>460-</b>	
***	nådha temple	•••	4.31	**
AL.	Figures 1 and 2-Panels 18 and 19 from east end of west side of court, Kai	LASAT	sans	
377 7	temple	166	4.31	13
XLII.	Figures 1 and 2-Panels 16 and 17 from east end of court, north side Kai	iasai	IMONA	
<b>WT 17</b>	temple		٠٠.	**
ALII.	Figures 1 and 2—Panels on north side of court (Nos. 12 and 13 from	eunt	ena),	
WI TIT	Kailasanadha temple Figures 1 and 2—Panels on north side of court (Nos 8 and 9 from	oont	(han	19
Autt.				
WT.137	Kailasanadha temple Figures 1 and 2Panels on north side of court (Nos. 6 and 7 from east end)	V.	, , ilaeu-	"
ALDIY.	A 17	, 12.4	11000-	
TIV	Figures 1 and 2—Panels on north side of court (Nos. 4 and 5 from a	44.00	(hne	**
ALIV.	WW 184 A 55	7CE#4		
****	Kailasanadha temple	i në	lavos	19
ALVI.			Margo.	
TI WII				1)
	North interior elevation of courtyard, Kailasanadha temple	• •	• •	,,
WINATIT.		• •		**
VIIV	NY. 1	• •	• •	7.9
	• /	• •	• •	17
	East elevation of Mahāmandaṇam— do Go Go do do Figure 1—Panel first to right of back central shrine, Kailāsanādha temple	• •	• •	**
u.		• •	• •	17
177		• •	••	39
741.	Figure 1—Panel on back of the shrine, Tripurantakéévara temple	••	• •	11
	Figure 2—Pañchaloga images—Valli, Subramanya, Dêvanâyaki	• •		"
	Figure 3—Sômaskanādah	* *	* *	••
	Figure 1 Yalı bası, Pândava Perumâl temple Figure 5Pier lying on ground near Vaikuntha Perumâl temple	• •		, ,,
	and the state of t		• •	"
T.T1.T	Figure t-Pier standing in main street of Vishnu Kâñchi Panel in shrine at north-west corner of Vimāna, Kailāsanādha temple	• •	, ,	**
	Panel on north side of shrine at north-west corner of Vimana, Kan			y 9
EH V.				
1.37	remple Figure 1Panel second from north-west corner of Vimana, north side	Ka	1650-	11
17.4	nâdha temple	,	* *	
	Figure 2—Panel third from north-west corner of Vimana, north side, Kai	เลิยคา		,•
	temple		• •	4-
1.71	Fourth panel from north-west owner of Vindna, north side, Kailaeanadna t	empl		"
	Panel on north side of Vimana, fifth from north-west corner, do.	T.		**
	Second panel from north-west corner of Vimana, west side, do			"
	Panel in central shrine, on west side of Vinana, do.			"
	Second panel from south-west corner of Vindna, south side. do.			"
	Panel on back of shrine at south-west corner of Vindana, do			,,
	Panel on front of centre shrine, south side of Vimana, do			,,
	Cross section through Vimana, Vaikuntha Perumal temple			11
	Ground plan of Vaikuntha Perumal temple			"
	Figure 1-Figures in one of the panels on courtyard wall, Vaikuntha	Per		
	temple			"
	Figure 2Figures on the left side of the entrance gopuram, Vaikuntha	Per	umāļ	
	temple	٠.		,,
	Figure 3-Figures on the right side of entrance gopuram, Vaikuntha	Per	nmal	
	temple			1)
LXVI.	Elevation of exterior court wall near north-west corner. Vaikuntha Peruma	tem	ple.	.,

### LIST OF PLATES.

Places.	DESCRIPTION.		
LXVII.	Sculpture on top of a niche on south exterior side of court wall. Vaikuntha Peru		
	temple	At t	he end.
LXVIII.	Figures 1 and 2-Pillar and panel in west porch, Vaikuntha Perumal temple	• •	15
LXIX	Figure 1—Panel on east side of inner shrine wall, do.		11
	Figure 2-Panel on the north side of inner shrine wall, do.		**
	Figure 3-Fifth panel to left of centre of third north shrine wall, Muktes	78TR	
	temple		29
	Figure 4Nandimandapa, Muktéévara temple		**
	Figure 5-Panel at entrance door, Vaikuntha Perumal temple	••	**
LXX.	Panel on south side of second inner shrine wall, do	• •	"
LXXI.	Figure 1-Panel of south-west corner of west wall of shrine, Vaikuntha Peru	mål	
	temple		,,
	Figures 2 and 3-Two panels on south wall of Ardhamandapan, Vaikuntha P	eru-	
	mål temple		**
	Figure 4 -Sixth panel to left of centre of third north shrine wall		**
	Figure 5Sixth panel to right of centre of third east shrine wall		17
	Figure 6—First panel to left of centre of third shrine well, south side		"
LXXII.	Fifth panel to left of centre of third (outer) shrine wall, south side, Vaikuntha P		•
	mål temple	••	
LTYIII	Second penel to right of centre of third north shrine wall of Vaikantha Peru		11
maxiii.	temple ,		
17317	Figures 1, 2 and 8—Panels on first storey of Vimana, Nos. 16, 17 and 18, to rig:	ht of	,,
MARIY.			
	south-west corner, Vaikuntha Perumal temple	••	**
	Figure 5—Second panel to left of centre of third north shrine wall, Vaiku	nthe	"
	P. Al	÷tπ#	
TYYV	Sixth panel to right of centre, east second shrine wall, Vaikuntha Perumal temple		17
	Figures 1 and 2—First and third panels to left of centre on north third shrine		,,
142 A V 1.		н олг	
	Vaikuntha Perumal temple	néha	11
	0 41.		
TWWDII	Pauels on shrine walls, third south wall of shrine, Vaikuntha Perumal temple	••	**
144.	771	• •	**
	The same of the sa	• •	*1
	1781 D. Cl. 13 1. 1. 1.	••	11
•	The same of Course of the same	• •	**
		• •	••
	Figure 5—Second to right of centre	• •	"
T	Figure 6Fifth to right of centre		"
LAL VIII.	Figures 1 and 2-Fifth and sixth panels to left of centre on east face of third should be be a sixth panels to left of centre on east face of third should be be a sixth panels to left of centre on east face of third should be be a sixth panels to left of centre on east face of third should be be a sixth panels to left of centre on east face of third should be be a sixth panels to left of centre on east face of third should be be a sixth panels to left of centre on east face of third should be be a sixth panels to left of centre on east face of third should be be a sixth panels to left of centre on east face of third should be be a sixth panels to left of centre on east face of third should be a sixth panels to left of centre on east face of third should be be a sixth panels to left of centre on east face of third should be be a sixth panels to left of centre on east face of third should be be a sixth panels to left of centre on east face of third should be be a sixth panels to left of centre on east face of third should be be a sixth panels to left of centre of the sixth panels to left of centre of the sixth panels to left of centre on east face of the sixth panels to left of centre of the si		
	wall, Vaikantha Perumal temple		**
	Figure 3.—Sixth panel to right of centre on south face of third shrine	Nam,	
* *******	Vaikuutha Perumal temple		11
LXXIX	Figure 1-Corner panels on south wall of Arthumanda, am, Vaikuntha Peru	mai	
	temple	13 - 4	"
	Figures 2 and 3—First and second panels from south-west corner on west wa	II OI	
	Ardhamandapam, Vaikuntha Perumal temple	•	"
AXXA	Figures 1 and 2-North-west corner of Ardhaman Japam, second corner panels		
	projection, Vaikuntha Perumal temple		**
	Figure 8-First panel to right on west wall of Ardhamandapam, Vaiku	ά <b>ζυ#</b>	
	Perumal temple		>1
	Figure 4-Eighth panel to right of south-west corner of first storey of Vin	ana,	**
•	Vsikuntha Perumal temple		1)
	Figure 5Ninth panel to right of south-west corner of first storey of Vin	iāna,	
	Vaikuntha Perumal temple	• •	11
	C		

Plates.	DESCRIPT	fion,						
	Figure 6-Tenth panel to right of south-	west oo	rner of	first	storey	of Vine	ina,	
	Vaikuntha Perumal temple							the end.
	Figure 7-El-venth panel to right of	w-dépos	est cor	ver o	f first	storey	of	
	Vimana, Vaikuntha Perumal temple .			• •		••		,,
LXXXI	. Figures 1 to 4-Four panels on south side	of fire	t storey	of P	imana, i	o right	of	
	south-west corner, Vaikuotha Perumal tem		••					**
LXXXII.	Figures 1 to 3Three panels on first storey of	-	na, 5th	to 7th	to righ	t of sor	th-	
	west corner, Vaikuntha Perumal temple				•••			,,
LXXXIII.	Figures 1 to 4Panels on first storey of Vim	āna, 12t	h and 1	5th to	right of	south-v	rest	•
	corner, Vaikuptha Perumal temple							**
LXXXIV.	Figures 1 to 4-Panels on first storey of Pim	āna, 196	h to 22:	ad, to	right of	south-w	rest	
	corner, Vaikuntha Perumal temple		••					99
	Figure 5-Panel on west shrine wall at	north-w		ner, V	aikuptl	a Peru	nâļ	.,
	temple	••						,,
	Figures 6 and 7-Two recessed panels on no		of Ar	dhama	ulapam,	Vaikun	tha	
	Perumal temple		• •					,,
LXXXV.	Side elevation of Vaikuntha Perumal temple							**
	Figures 1 to 4-Figures in the first storey of	Vimana	. Vaiku	atha F	Pernnál	temple		"
	Figure 1-One pier in west verandah in cour							••
	mål temple			••				93
	Figure 2-Two piers in Antardiamandapam		and sid		aikunth	a Perur	nAl	,,
	temple	••		4.				D
LXXXVIII	Two panels on inside of west north wall at	north-v	rest con	aer. V	aiknuth	a Peru		
	temple				• •			n
LXXXIX.	Panels on two walls at south-west corner of c	ourt, V	ikanth	Peru	mål ten	ple		"
	Figures 1 to 3-Panels on south wall of cour			do.		•		"
	Figures 1 to 3-Panels on north wall and		return		at west	of cor		**
4404	Vaskuntha Perumal temple	•					• •	11
XCII	Figures 1 and 2—Panels on east wall of cour	t. Vaik	nntha P	erumâ	l temule			91
	Plan of Matangésvara temple				,	,		**
	Panels on side walls of Garbhagriham, Matang	- Aévara (	amnle	••		• •		"
	Panels in Antardamandapam,	do.	emise.	•••				17
	Panels on back of Gubhagriham,	do.						77
	Figure J-Panels at right of porch, Muktêsva		le el			• •		11
110111.	And a	0.						
XCVIII	Inner pillar in the Antardiamandapam, de							**
	West elevation, Matangésvara temple					••		"
	North elevation, do	••	••			••		
	Plan of Muktévora temple	•	• •			•••		**
	Front pillar in the porch, Muktesvara temple	• • •	.,					
	Panel on left side of Garbhagrilam, do		••		••			1)
	Figure 1 Panel on the back of the shrine, M	n b+A6 wu	vo tome		• •	••		,
C. V.	Figure 2—Panel on the back of the porch,	de	7		•			.,
CV	Panel on left of porch,	de				• •		**
	Front elevation.	d			••	• •		11
	North side elevation,	de					• •	**
			<i>,</i> .			••	• •	,,
	Tirupurantakésvara temple	e . Disense al	ntolati-	neu ta	mala	••	• •	• •
	Figures 1 and 2-Panels in Arthonomydapam, T	riva limas	_	MIN IS	rulue	,	••	11
	Punels on back of Antaralamandapam,		do.			• •	• •	٧
	Figure 1 Panels on west side,		do,				• •	,,
	Figure 2—Panels on north side,		do.				• •	**
	Panels on south side,		do.				• •	**
	Side elevation.		do				• •	**
CXIV.	Gapêsvara aud Thundêsvara temple	• •	• •	• •	• -	• •	• •	**

#### LIST OF PLATES.

PLATES.	DESCRIPTION.		
OXV.	Arravatéévara temple	• •	At the end.
CXVI	. Panele on sidee of shrine, Airavatētvara temple		**
OXVII.	Panels on back of Garbhagrikam, do	, .	,,
CXVIII.	Figures 1 to 3-Panels on back of Antardjamandopam, Airavatsavara temple		Pi
CXIX	Panels on north and south side walls, do.		**
CXX.	Ground plan, Adikêévara Perumâl temple		**
OXXI.	North elevation, do	• •	37
OXXII.	Blackstone window at a small shrine in west end of courtyard, Kachéévara temple		9)
OXXIII.	Figures I to 3-Panels twentieth and twenty-second from east end of south side	of	,,,
	court, Kaildeanâdha temple		***
	Figures 4 and 5-Panels fourteenth and fifteenth from east end of north side of co	urt,	,
	Kailåsanådha temple		
	Figures 6 and 7-Panels eighth and ninth from east end of south side of so	urt	
	Kailasanadha temple	**	
OXXIV.	West interior elevation, courtyard, Kailasanadha temple	.,	,,

### THE ARCHÆOLOGICAL SURVEY OF SOUTHERN INDIA.

# PALLAVA ARCHITECTURE OF KANCHIPURAM.

#### INTRODUCTORY.

KANOHIPURAM, the ancient capital of the Pallava kingdom, is situated about 30 miles south-west of Madras.

It has from a very early date been noted for the number and beauty of its temples. At the present day it is chiefly remarkable for its larger Dravidian temples, which, however, compared with the earlier examples, are of comparatively recent date; but before 1883, no one imagined that buildings still exist here, which are contemporary with, or earlier than some of the oldest examples of South Indian Hindu architecture hitherto known.

Among the earliest monuments of the South, the most ancient and best known are those at Mâmallâpuram; and, as the rathas there, are unmistakable monolithic reproductions of what must have been earlier structural buildings, it became a question whether any examples of these earlier buildings might still exist. Considering the early date of the rathas, it was hardly to be expected that any buildings could have withstood the ravages of time and violence from an earlier period. Until within a few years ago, few or no Hindu structural temples in the Peninsula, were known of a date anterior to those of the Chôlas of the eleventh century. The discovery that the Pallavas were the excavators of the Mamallapuram remains, however showed that if any of these earlier structures might still be found, they would likely occur in the country formerly under the sway of the Pallavas, and possibly in their aucient capital itself. But the first to be discovered were the group at Pattadakal in the Belgaum district, of which the temple of Virupaksha dates from the early part of the eighth century A.D. These are described in the first Report of the Archieological Survey of Western India. The further discovery of the Kanchipuram group of temples now under review is of considerable importance to archaeological research, and, with the Pattadakal and Kokanûr temples, supplies a further link which earlier investigators had, previous to 1874, looked for in vain. In 1883, Mr. R. Sewell, I.C.S. (retired), visited Kanchipuram, and observed two temples—the Matangesvara, and Muktesvara—whose porticos bore a striking resemblance to the façades of some of the cave temples at Måmallåpuram.\* The tower also, of another temple—the Vaikuntha Perumal—he noted as having an outline in close affinity to that of the Dharmaraja ratha at Mamallapuram, each storey being distinctly stepped back from that immediately below it, forming a platform with parapet on each, after the style of the ancient vihitras, but common also to many

Fergusson: Her. of Ind and East Arch. p. 826.

with a fair approximation to historical exactness, the real position occupied by the Pallavas in their relation to other and better known dynasties.

From the inscriptions already brought to light, we know they occupied a position of considerable influence at, or earlier than the Christian Era. In the fifth century B.C. Gautama Buddha is said to have converted the people of Kanchipuram. In the third century B.C., Asôka, it is said, built many Buddhist Topes in the neighbourhood; no material evidence has however, as yet been found to support the tradition. This is the earliest and almost only record we have of the introduction of Buddhism into the Pallava Country. The early religion of the Pallavas was apparently Buddhism.

Hiven Thrang probably only related tradition when he spoke of Buddha having personally converted the people. Of the topes said to have been built by Aśôka, none now remain in the neighbourhood of Kâñchîpuram,—though the existing earliest buildings in the country are erected on distinct Buddhist models.

About 150 years later, we find that numerous Buddhist monks from the Pallava country visited Ceylon. In the first century A.D. the Pallavas were ruling in the Southern Dakhan, they built forts and palaces in the basin of the Pâlâr, and carried on an extensive commerce both with the West and East. 13

The materials for this period are rather scanty for the record of a continuous history; but they are sufficient to show that at this time, the dynasty occupied a position of considerable power and influence.

As indicating the commerce with the West, Roman coins are still found occasionally at the ancient seaport of Mamallapuram. Leaden coins also, of the Andhra kings have been got at the same and other places in the Fallava Country. Baktrian coins, of a date seemingly anterior to the Christian era, have also been found. The Baktrian kingdom was perhaps overthrown about a century B.C. The intercourse which the coins indicate, must therefore be placed about or before that date.

About the same period, Vishnubhûpa reigned at Kâñchipuram; 10 and later Salivahana an ancestor of Mukunti Pallava is said to have reigned at the same place.17

About this time, a large colony is supposed to have emigrated from the coast of Telingâna and settled in Java.<sup>18</sup> An inscription found there, belonging to about the fifth century A.D.<sup>19</sup> is probably the work of this people. Early in our era, Malléévara reigned at Mâmallâpuram—which was originally called after his name <sup>20</sup>—and in his reign, the place was destroyed by an inundation of the sea.<sup>21</sup>

It has been mentioned \*2 that the ancient Hindu emigration from India to Java came not from the east, but the west coast. In the light of additional information since brought to light, this view must be somewhat modified, as, according to Burnell, the paleographical evidences of the Javanese inscriptions show more connection with the Pallava

Sewell; Lists I, p. 176, after Hunen Thomas
 Fergusson: Tree and Nerpost Worship, p. 195. Raindowsk, II, p. 222. Foulkes: Salem Manual, I, p. 4. Turnour: Makessano I, 171.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Foulkes: Ind. Antiq , VIII, 170. Salem Manual, I, 3, II, 352. Taylor: Jour., As. Soc., Bengl., VII (II) 121. 1;2
Sewell. Lists 1, 172.

<sup>14</sup> Borwell: Ind. Antig., I, 151. Wilson . Asiat. Res. XVIII, 586, 279, 58 t.

M Fergusson: Ind. and East. Arch., pp 26, 27. Elliot. Madr. Jour. of Lit. and Sci., X1X, 242, 243.

<sup>10</sup> Burgons. Archl. Repts. Un. Ind., 1876, ch. III Floot. Ind. Antiq., VII, 57.

Wilson: Call. Masken. Mes., 1, p. CXXIV. Rice: Mys. Inc., p. III.

In Taylor. Madr. Jour. Lit. and Soi., XVI, 132.

<sup>20</sup> Chambers: As. Res. I, 156. Taylor: Madr. Jour. List. and Sci., VIII, 65, XIII, 39.

<sup>21</sup> Chambers: As. Res. I, 156. 22 Forgusson: Ind. and Res. Arch., p. 627.

than with those of any other part of India. "The architecture also of the temples in Java, is south—not north—Indian in style." From any inscriptional evidence we have on the point, Māmallāpuram seems to have been an important scaport in the days of the Pallavas. Ferguseon mentions that there is no trace of any city near the excavations that could have been inhabited. This perhaps admits of explanation. During the many centuries that these works have been in existence, the sea has gradually encroached and the sand drifted, till the eastern portion of the courtyard of the "shore" temple has been washed away; (a dipda is still seen standing in the water). The original floor line of the court is about 12 feet under the present surface of the sand. The caves at Sālavankuppam are at a considerable depth below the present sand surface; as also the basement of the large bas relief, known as "Arjuna's penance;" and other works which stand on a low level. May not then, the ruins of any city there may have been, be under the sand?

Ptolemy's emporium for the Golden chersonese, and the farther east—in the second century—was within the Pallava territory on the eastern coast, and Årkåt, and Mamsllåpuram have respectively been identified with Ptolemy's Arkati Regio Soræ and Malerpha.

This would show that a very considerable commerce was then being carried on between the East and the West, and, that it must have been in existence even before the time these records refer to. The western coins also, which are found all over the region, show how general this intercourse must have been.

With regard to ancient works of this dynasty in the Arkât District, I have, in another report, pointed out, that some and probably all of the numerous caves found there, are the works of the Pallavas, showing that the rock excavations executed by them are more numerous and extensive than has been hitherto supposed.<sup>37</sup>

That a high proficiency in the arts did prevail among these people, and at a very early period, is shown by different records. The Pallava kings were renowned for their learning, skill in warfare, and personal valour; \*\* and Hiuen Thsang (640 A.D.) found numerous temples throughout the portion of his route which lay through this country; and large and richly sculptured Buddhist buildings at Dhanakata and Pingola. Numerous inscriptions bear similar evidence, and the masses of inscribed documents which have been collected, and are still accumulating, enable us to judge with a fair approximation, of the liberal patronage bestowed by the Pallavas on learning, and the architectural arts, from the earliest times of which we have at present any recorded knowledge of them.

It is but reasonable to suppose that a people who had attained such power and culture, would,—in common with other races of which we have fuller information—have free communication with western nations. That there were trading relations between the East and West has been already shown, (id.); but that this intercourse was so complete, as to make the arts of the one affect those of the other, is what the architecture in several instances would seem to show. We know from the finding of coins, and other sources, that the Bomans had trading communication with the Pallavas.

It can be proved that an intimate connection did exist between the north of India and Rome at that time; but with the South, it has hitherto been supposed that only trade was

as g.J. Fein., pp. 190 to 134.

as Elis: Popers on Mr. Right, 200, 243. Wilson: Mask., M23. 1, p. NXXIV. Oaldwell: Gram. Drov. Lang. Intr., p.

Gubbins: Jour. Ac. Soc. Bong., XXII, 667.
27 See G.O., No. 2009, 5th Sopt. 1986; also Oh. Coll. Maganine, Madran, Vol. III. (Bo. 5, Nov. 1888), p. 877.

<sup>&</sup>quot; Foultes: Ind. Antig., VIII, 280.

carried on between the two. A60ka (260-223 B.C.), and Chandragupta (of the Mauriya Dynasty, B.C. 325-188) formed alliances with Antrochus, Antyonus, Ptolemy Philadelphus, and Magas of Cyrene, for the establishment of hospitals, and the protection of his coreligionists in their countries.<sup>29</sup> A much earlier intercourse is known to have existed between India, Egypt and other countries, but at so remote a period, that it could have had no effect on the present stone architecture of India, which, in fact, as far as is known, had no existence at that period. I may therefore only note it in passing. We read that "Thothmee III (?1500 B.C.) "penetrated to the country of elephants." The Hindus—though an ancient nation, had—before they were brought under European influence—no stone architecture. Any buildings they had, were—like those of the Burmese, and other eastern nations at the present day—constructed of wood. Their earliest stone examples show considerable traces of wooden construction still retained and applied in stone.

The Pandyans also, had communication with the Romans in the days of Augustus (27 B.C.); and there are records of a Hindu king having sent ambassadors to the Court of the Emperor.<sup>31</sup> The contemporary Chôla kingdom was known to the Greeks, and is mentioned in the *Periplus Maris Erithræi* (A.D. 246-7) and in Ptolemy (A.D. 130).<sup>32</sup>

Whether a western colony ever settled on the east coast of Southern India, we have at present, no means of shewing. On the western Cochin coast at least, there was a temple of Augustus, and a garrison of soldiers at Muziris, (doubtfully) identified with Muyirikôdu or Cranganore.<sup>35</sup>

Some evidences there are in the architecture also, which show more than a chance similarity in some points between that of the East and the West; forms which, although familiar enough to us in classical architecture, are found reproduced with modifications—suited to the position in which we find them—in Pallava architecture, showing that the one nation had probably been influenced in this art by the other.

The earliest notices speak of these people as Buddhists; and, in common with the change in religion, which was general over India, or through the personal leanings of individual sovereigns, we find the Jain, Saivite, and Vaishnavite creeds being successively adopted as the religion of the people. In an extensive and populous country, changes in religious beliefs, so widely divergent in creed as these,—to be general,—must of necessity be gradual, and occupy many decades of time. We find Buddhists from Benares settling near Kanchipuram in the third century A.D.<sup>34</sup> But though the first Brahminic element appeared in the country at the end of the third century A.D., under Mukuoti Pallava it is not till the eighth century that we hear of the Buddhists' final expulsion from the city by Hēmasītaļa. About the time of Mukunti, it is said the Pallava written character was introduced into the kingdom. This conflict of Brahmanism, in the Pallava country, with the prevailing religion—Buddhism, which it eventually overthrew—seems to have been a symptom of a great change which began to show itself at this period, over the whole of the Peninsula.

<sup>\*\*</sup> See Fergusson's Ind. and Eus. Arch., pp. 17, 18, also p. 29, f. n. 2.

Son Brugsch (Histoire d' Egypte), I, p. 81. Burnell . S.I. Palm., p. 8.

<sup>11</sup> Wilson · Catl. Mack. Coll., p. 44. 22 Ind. Antig., VIII, 107, 231, 324, 237.

<sup>45</sup> Caldwell . Drav Gram ... . As Res, X, 106.

Wilson Catl. Mac. 288. 1. p. 1, XV, 1, XXVII. Rice. Mys. Ins., p. 1, XXXVIII. Foulkes Sal. Mant. I, 6, 10.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Rice. Mys. Inc., p. 1 XXXVIII.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Wilson: Oatl Mas. MSS., 1. p 1, XV, 1, XVII; Taylor: Jour. As. Soc., Bong., VII (II) 121; VIII, 284. Bico: Mys. Bic. p. 1 III, 1 Vl.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Burnell: S.I. Pale., 35, 36; Floot. Ind. Antig., IX, 100. Princep: Jour. As Sec., Beng., III, 113, 119,

<sup>24</sup> Forgusson: Ind. and Eas. Arch., pp. 21, 23.

In the fourth century, Vedentir in Maisur, was part of the Pallava dominious, and Trilochana reigned in the Dekkan. In the same century, Fa Hian's five storeyed Po-lo-ye Vindra was in existence.4 About the end of this century, Trinêtra Pallava introduced other Brahmans into the country.42 At this time, the Pallavas seem to have been at the zenith of their power, extending their sway over a large tract of territory. Their dominions are said to have extended along the eastern coast from Orissa to the mouth of the Southern Pennar; inland, along the eastern boundary of the Kongu Karnata kingdom; and across the Tungabhadra north-westwards, far into the northern Dekkan. In confirmation of this, we read that at this time they were in the height of their power there; " and that previous to the arrival of the Châlukyans-who first established themselves in the Peninsula about the fifth century A D.—the Pallavas were the dominant race in the Southern Dekkan.48 But shortly after, they were engaged in a series of struggles, -- principally with the Châlukyansand by the middle of the fifth century, we have the record of their first defeat by-a comparatively insignificant power—the Kadambas.46 Their power, from this epoch, seemed to have declined, for their history from this time onwards—which is more continuously recorded than that of the centuries preceding it—is simply a succession of struggles and defeats by neighbouring powers, varied by gleams of flickering success. As their rise had been gradual, so was their decline, not the work of a single blow, but a continuous sapping away of their strength by petty struggles. We have numerous records of the capture, and destruction by fire, of their capital city Kanchipuram; first by Pulikesi I. (Chalukyan) in the beginning of the sixth century; " and later, we hear, of the desolation of the town by invading armies; but these could only have been partial. It always managed to resuscitate itself, and even now, it remains one of the largest and most flourishing towns in Southern India. Other invaders were so struck by the beauties of its architectural works, that instead of destroying them, they took means for their perpetuation. An early inscription at the Kailâsanâdha temple " records that Vikramaditys actually visited the temple, and who, influenced probably by religious feeling, left the temple intact, and perpetuated the memory of his capture by this inscription. This is the most probable way of explaining the existence of this early and important Châlukyan record. He, and other kings of the same dynasty acted similarly. Vikramaditya II. (733-750) A.D. "determined to root out the Pallavas, the obscurers of "the splendour of the former kings of his line, and by nature hostile, going with great "speed into the Udaka province, alew in battle the Pallava named Nandi-Pôtavarma who "came against him, captured his defiant lotus-mouthed trumpet, his drum called 'Roar of the " sea,' his chariot, his standard, immense and celebrated elephants, clusters of rubies, which " by their radiance dispelled all darkness; and entering Kanchipuram—the Zone (Kanchi) "as it were of the lady, the region of Agastya's abode (the south), acquired the great merit "of covering with gold Raja Simhêávara, and other Dêvakala sculptured in stone, which "Narasimha Pôta-Varma, the protector of indigent Brahmans. . . . . . had made."

<sup>&</sup>quot; Rice: Mys. Ins., p. 1 III, 1, IV.

Elliot: Madr. Jour. Lit. and Soi., XX, 78. Rice: Mys. Ins., p. 1 III, 1 IV. Ind. Antig., II, 156, VIII, 246. Floot: Ind. Antig., VII, 243, 245. Kon. Dyn., 19.

<sup>31</sup> Remusat: Fa Hian. \$14. Cunningham: Anc. Geo. Ind., I, 522. Forgusson and Burgess: Occo. Tem., 129. See Burgess: Report, S. Ind., Vol. I.

<sup>4</sup> Wilson: Call. Mac. MSS., I, p. OXX. Fleat: Ind. Antig., VII, 248. Foulkes: Sal. Monl., I, 10.

Foulkes: Ind. Antiq., VI, 22. Sewell: Jour. R.A.S., XVI (N.S.), 21.
 Foulkes: Ind. Antiq., VIII, 172.
 Burgess: Arch. Rep. W. Ind. Bular, 22. Fleet: Ind. Antiq., V, 50. Rice; Ind. Antiq., II, 156. Elliot Jour. R.A.S., I (N.S.), 251.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Floot: Ind. Antig., VI, 22, Dyn., 9. \*\* South Ind. Inc., Vol. IX, p. 147.

In connection with the covering of the statues with gold, an inscription in the Keilssanadha temple mentions a similar act, and I am inclined to believe, probably refers to the same instance as the above. If so, it may prove of great importance in fixing the date of the building. It is somewhat fragmentary, but it records the giving of some grain, and gold, the latter being for ornamenting ". . . . . . . Sudevar;" which evidently coincides with the "other Dêva kala" mentioned above. Should this be so, and the inscription of Vikramaditya refer to the sculptures in this temple, then the clearly stated fact that they were made by Narasimha Pôta-Varma should fix the date of their execution during his reign, about the end of the sixth century.<sup>59</sup> But as all the soulptures of this temple have evidently been executed after the construction of the building, and when each stone was in its fixed position, the actual foundation of the structure should be placed earlier. Few or any of the sculptures are cut out of a single stone, the joints of the masonry go right through them, and they could not possibly have been executed before they were set in position. Fergusson also mentions 51 that this custom is usual in India where the buildings "are always set up in block, and the carving executed in situ." Considering the dates assigned to the Mamallapuram works, and the architectural features of the Kailasanadha temple, which would lead us to place it earlier than these, the inference drawn from the above historical facts may not be far amiss. Briefly stated, the presumptive evidences in favour of the above theory, are,—the architecture of the building seems to point to a period coinciding with that referred to in the inscription of Vikramêditya;—the "Dêva kala" would most probably be in some temple or other. This temple of Kailasanadha would, in early times, be a most important and sacred shrine, the immense amount of sculpture and labour spent on it, points to this. An inscription in it 52 refers to it as "this temple of stone called Rajasimheevara, which touches the clouds with its top, which robs Katlasa of its beauty." Then there is the inscription in the temple itself-recording a similar act-and another by Vikramaditya, on a pier of the Mahâmandapam, proving that he did make a grant to this temple; and moreover, some of the sculptures themselves countenance the fact, as several have jewel holes in the ears, evidently intended for the attachment of some sort of metal ornament.

In the sixth century, the Pallavas still ruled the Vengi country; and Badami was temporarily recovered by them. Mrigesa built a Jain temple at Palasika; and in the first quarter of the same century, an ambaesador from Southern India—possibly from the Pallava Court—visited China, so showing that they still retained their position among the nations.

Râjêndra-Varmâ was possibly reigning at the beginning of this century, succeeded by Vishņu-Simha or Nara-Simha Varma who probably reigned about the end of the century, for we have the record of his death in battle at the beginning of the seventh.<sup>57</sup> The latter is seemingly the king of the same name mentioned, as having caused the execution of the sculptures noted above. They suffered shortly after this, several crushing defeats from the

<sup>40</sup> Rice Ind. Antig., VIII, 24, 28. Mys. Inc., 300. S.I. Inc., IX, p. 148. See further remarks under description of the sculptures

See Fleet Ind Artig., IX, 39. Kan. Dyn., 16. Foulks: R.A.S.G.B., Vol. XVII, part 2 (the Pallavas), p. 10.

<sup>61</sup> Ind. and Eas. Arch., p. 389.

<sup>15</sup> Rtoe . Mys. Inc , p. 1, III. Eggling : Ind. Antiq., III, 152.

Fleet . Ind. Antiq., IX, 100.
 Fleet . Kan Dyn., 15. Buhler · Ind. Antiq., VI, \$1.

<sup>11</sup> Jour., As. Son , Beng., VI, 66. Ind. Amig., IX, 16, 17. Jour., R. A.S., VI, 488.

<sup>1:</sup> Eggling Ind. Antiq., iii, 152. Fleet: Ind. Antiq., VI, 78, IX, 99. Zen. Dyn., 16. Rice: Hye. Inc., p. !, iti. Foulkes I.R. i.S., Vol. XVII, part 2 (the Pallavas), p. 10.

Châlukyans; and Vikramâditya I. "forced the King of Kâñchîpuram, who had never bowed down to any man, to lay his crown at his feet." 38 A king of this race, however, still reigned in Kalinga, and some victories over the Châlukyans are recorded. But in the beginning of the seventh century, Vikramaditya, "with irresistible might, subdued the Pallava country and made the lord of Kafichipuram kiss his lotus feet." The Vengi Pallavas were conquered about the same time, and they seem generally to have been hard pressed by their enemies on all sides. They were defeated and "ruined" by a western Châlukyan (Satyasraya) and driven behind the walls of Kanchipuram. 22 Narasimha Pôta Varma was defeated and trodden to death by elephants. A temporary truce seems, however, to have been concluded between the two powers; for, although the Châlukyans had generally been the victors, they would no doubt suffer heavily in their struggles with such a powerful foe. We thereafter find the Pallava Chandadanda in alliance with Pulikesi II. (Châlukyan); and the Pallavas still reigning at Kânchîpuram "when Hieun Theang visited the city, which was at this time, six miles long. Its inhabitants were brave, just, learned, pious and tolerant in religion; and the then flourishing condition of the north-eastern districts is shewn by the numerous Buddhist monasteries and Hindu temples he found throughout this portion of his route. He found Buddhist buildings of great beauty at Dhanakataka; se and a richly sculptured monastery at Pingkila." The truce with the Châlukyans seems to have been of no great duration, for we find after this, they received a succession of humiliating defeats from these and other minor powers up to the end of the ninth century. We then—for the first time—hear of the Chôlas in connection with them; so that up to this period, and before the Chôlas came into conflict with them, their former power must have been considerably shattered and broken. Their struggles with the other powers had so weakened them, that this new enemy could not be effectively resisted, and they finally fell before the rising Chôla power.

The Jains whom Hieun Theang calls Nirgranthas, were numerous in the seventh century. The last Buddhist king, Hêmasitala, to became a convert to the Jain religion, and drove the Buddhists from the capital. He is also said to have brought a large Jain colony from the north to Kanchipuram. The last mention of the Pallavas, as a race or dynasty is in the thirteenth century A.D.,70 though after the conquest of Tondamandala by the Rajas of Vijayanagar, some of the Kurumbar chieftains regained influence, and still held forts there, as late as the sixteenth century." The Pallavas—as a dynasty—had completely disappeared, and the city of Kanchipuram, afterwards passed through various vicissitudes. In this sketch, only leading points in their history have been touched on; but the materials are only sufficient to give a faint outline of what this people must have been : letting us know that the Pallavas, during their possession of power, and, even long after their decline had begun, were one of the most prominent ruling races in the Southern Peninsula.

<sup>60</sup> Bloot . Ind. Antiq., X, 243. Foulkes . Ind. Antiq , VIII, 277, 281. 10 Rice: Ind. Antig., VIII, 24.

<sup>40</sup> Elliot: Jour. R.A.S., IV, 10. Bhagavanlal Indraji: Jour. As. Soc., Bong., XVI, 4, 5.

<sup>61</sup> Burgees : Arch. Rep., Bidar., 26. Fleet : Dyn. 15, 22. at Fleet : Ind. Antiq., V. 67, 73, Dyn., 24.

<sup>48</sup> Flect: Ind. Antiq., VI, 78. Bice: Mys. Inc. p. x, 1, iii, 1, iii, I, V, 1, XII. 44 Fergusson and Burgess: Osse. Tom., 108. Finet: Ind. Antiq., VIII, 248.

<sup>53</sup> Julien : Mémoires II, 118. Beal's Bud. Res., W., World II, 229. 54 Canningham : Anc. Geo. Ind. 1, 540.

<sup>&</sup>quot; Julian : II. 106 ; Burnell : S.I. Palm., 16. Foulkes ; Salem Massl., I, 9.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Taylor : Madr. Jour., Lit. and Soc., VII, 20, 219 : VIII, 261.

<sup>40</sup> Wilson: Catl. Mes. MSS., 1, p. 1, XV, 1, XVII. Taylor Jour., As. Soc., Beng., VII (II), 110. 121, VII. 284. Madr Jour., Lit. and Sei., VII, S. Rice: Mye. Inc., p. 1, III, I, VI.

Burnell: S.I. Pale., 36. Sewell: Lists I, 177.

<sup>&</sup>quot; Taylor: Jour., An. Sec., Rosp., VII (II). Madr. Jour., Lot. and Sec., VII, 221.

#### PALLAVA ARCHITECTURE.

In treating of their architecture, it is almost unnecessary to remark that the people who excavated the works at Mâmallâpuram, -- whose date has been assigned to about the sixth century A.D.,72 had evidently—even at that early date—a very complete knowledge of the art. But, these monolithic works are only imitations of structural works previously existing, either in their own, or neighbouring countries. That many such, did at one time exist in the Pallava country is more than probable; and Kanchipuram is undoubtedly the place where we would expect to find the earliest buildings, for Mamallapuram and Salavankuppam were only the scaports of the capital city, and therefore likely to be of more modern date than the metropolis itself. During the many invasions suffered by Kanchîpuram, most of the ancient buildings and sculptures would doubtless suffer, or be completely destroyed; for, of the Pallava buildings remaining, only six have as yet been brought to notice. No complete structural examples of the works of this dynasty have been found in any other place in the Chingleput District, with the exception of the temples at Kûram, Tiruppadikunram and those on the coast, at Mamallapuram. The Kuram temple is not of pure Pallava architecture, and should be classed under the transitional period between the Pallava and Chôla styles. These two temples of Küram and Tiruppadikunram have none of the sculptures peculiar to the other examples noted. The copperplate sasanam belonging to the Kûram temple would seem to show that although the shrine is now devoted to the worship of Vishnu, it was originally consecrated to Siva.73 Although we have found no other complete examples of Pallava buildings, many yali pillars and other fragments are still to be seen scattered about Kanchipuram and in villages in the district, which are evidently the remains of former buildings of this style.76

The other early temples in Kanchipuram are the work of the Cholas; and however interesting they may be as the works of that race, they are decidedly less important archeologically than the earlier Pallava buildings.

From every point of view, the most important of the group of Pallava structures is the Kailasanadha temple, which is one of the most remarkable architectural monuments in the district, alike for the extent and beauty of its sculptures—forming a complete series of representations of the principal legends in the Saivite mythology—and from the fact that its architectural features when compared with those of the rathas at Mamallapuram, show an

<sup>12</sup> Fergusson: Hist. Ind. and Las. Arch., 326. Bergusson and Burgess: Cave Temples. Madras Jour., XIII (1), 88.

<sup>, 18</sup> South Ind Inc., Vol. IX, p. 144

The temple at Manmangalam has been thought by Mr. Sewell to be of very ancient date. The statement that "the source of the shrine, and the greater portion of the shrine, and the greater portion of the shrine, and the greater portion of the tower over it, are square; but the upper portion of the sindle trower, or, more properly, the sikers only, has a circular back. The temple itself, is a Chôle structure of the eleventh century. More characteristic examples of the poculiarity referred to (i.e., semi-circular ended shrines) are seen at different places in the district; and it seems to have been—from the number I have observed—quite a usual feature in Chôle temples. Somangalam, Tennêri, Mâgaralu, and Tiruppadibuuram Jain temple, afford examples of this circular-ended vendes (Gajaprishlahrius Garbharam;—or elephant back shaped shrine). These are however, all of Chôle date. There is a likelihood of some or all of them having been modelled from the "Schâdées" rathe at Mâmallâpuram, but by no possibility could any of them have supplied the model for its design. Of an oval shaped shrine, the Chôle temple of Instantaisers at Kâfichipuram, is a good specimen.

I would here point out, that the names applied to the values at Mamailapuram must be modern, and cannot be the original appellations of these temples. The names are those of the five Pandavas, and are given to them by the present natives of the village. As elsewhere in India, the villagers assign every ancient building, or excavation of which the origin is unknown, to the Jains, or to such personages as Rama, and the five Pandavas. In some districts, they sacribe to the Chôlas, buildings which have not the slightest connection with them, but simply through the tradition—having been handed down—that the Chôlas were the buildings of great works. The term "abore" temple applied to the building on the coast at Mamailapuram, also seems to me to be a corruption of the word "Chôla" temple. The natives, when asked the name of the building, call it the "Chôla Môvil," and this might easily be misunderstood by Europeans unacquainted with the word—for the similarly sounding word "shore;" more especially as it actually is on the seasone, and part of it, in the sea itself.

earlier period in the Pallava style common to both. So also is it with regard to the other Pallava temples in Kanchipuram. The inscriptions in it are numerous, and mostly in an early palæographical form of the Pallava Grantha character, similar to others at Mamallapuram. The names on the niches here, are the same as those on the Dharmaraja's Ratha at Mamallapuram with others in addition; and, the king's name we find there, also occurs here. A notable peculiarity is the scrolled foliation attached to letters of the inscriptions in front of the cells in the courtyard. They are similar in this respect, to some of the earlier Challukyan inscriptions in the north. They are identical palæographically, with the Nagari inscription at Salavankuppam. A Challukyan grant on one of the piers of the mahamandapam by "Vikramaditya Satyaáraya Śri Prithivtvallabha" is interesting as proving one of the Challukyan invasions of Kanchipuram; and is an important link in the chain of evidence pointing to the early date to which we must assign this structure. The inscriptions at the other Pallava temples are in Tamil, and of a much later date than those at the Kailasanadha temple.

The massive piers in the Kailasanadha mahamandapam also indicate an early period of the style. They are placed at a distance of two and-a-half diameters from each other. This peculiar solidity in the supports is found in all early examples of every style of architecture,—Classical, Gothic and others; and if a comparison be made between these piers and the widely-spaced slender columns of the adjoining ardhamandapam—a Vijayanagar structure, which has been built on the vacant space which, in the original design separated the mahamandapam from the mindna itself,—or the yili piers of the other Pallava temples, it will show clearly that this building should rank as an early example of an early period in the Pallava style (Plates Nos. XLVIII (Fig. 1), XXXIX (Fig. 2), XCVIII, XCIX, LXXXVII).

The piers have a striking resemblance to those used in early cave temples; and, as only the more slender, and obviously later ornamental ydli piers are used at the "Dharmarâjâ's" and other rathas, and caves at Mâmallâpuram, this feature may, in conjunction with other architectural evidences, warrant us in assigning this building to fully as early a date as any of the Pallava works yet known.

Considering the large amount of sculpture there is in these temples generally, and in the Kailâsanâdha in particular, the general excellence which characterizes it throughout, is very striking.

The animals sculptured as supports in Pallava architecture are always lions, and never tigers. Burnell has, from one doubtful example on a seal <sup>78</sup> given the emblem of the Pallavas as a tiger. This seems without doubt to be erroneous. In not a single known Pallava building is a tiger represented: on the other hand, lions are always sculptured in

Bouth Ind. Ins., Vol. IX, pp. 1-12.
Begraphia Indies, Vol. IX, part VIII, p 369.

During the executations which I conducted in 1884 at the "ahore" temple at Māmallāpuram, this feature of design in that building was also found, e.e., a shrine with lefty tower over it, a vacant space in front; and a detached mandapam was uncarthed. At the Kališaanādha temple, the space between the once detached shrine and mahdamandapam, has been filled in with a comparatively recent arddhamandapam, to suit the modern requirements of worship in the temple. This is proved by the style of the addition itself, and the fact that the ancient Pallava Grantha inscriptions returning along the back of the base of the originally detached mandapam, and along the front base of the large vimana, as well as some sculptures, are abutted against by the walls of the more recent building.

This arrangement of a detached mandapam seems to have been not unusual in early times, for in addition to the examples moted, another well-known specimen at Mānallāpuram—shows the same grouping; I refer to the monolithic works known as "Bāima's," and "Dharmarājā's" rathes. Dharmarājā's has a tidāra roof, Bāima's, though a hall, has a high tower, and Dāarmarājā's is the smaller in plan and nearly square, while the other is long. These stand almost in a line with each other. The first—from its design—was probably intended to be the tample, or shrine; and the other, the mahāmaṇṇapam. In the treatment of the lower storey, this latter is formed on the same design as the mahāmaṇapama at the Kailāmadha temple;—that is, with the open pillared spaces on each façade, and the return walls, with panels, at each corner. These Mānallāpuram rathes stand north and south, but this is not quite exceptional; and in this case the natural arrangement of the rooks out of which thay are cut, must have decided this.

\*\*\* S.Ind. Pale.\*\*, p. 106 and plate.

different positions and places on their shrines, presumably because it was the emblem of a king or kings of the dynasty. The lions at these Känchipuram temples, at the ration and caves at Mämalläpuram are sufficiently numerous to support the theory. A close observation of the so-called "tiger cave," at Salavankuppam, will show that the "tigers" there, are a complete misnomer, the sculptures undoubtedly represent lions. Beads and coins found at Mämalläpuram show a distinct lion on one side and an elephant on the other. The dynastic creet, is said to have been a bull."

The application of human figures, or caryatides, as supports, is seen on the bases of two piers inserted in the Kachcheśvara temple, and on another complete pier in a mandapam in Sengalanir Odsi street, (Plates Nos. XXI, XXII).

These latter have been said to be representations of the old Kurumbar inhabitants, but the term "Kurumbar" was a name bestowed on the Pallava people generally, and they would probably not care intentionally to represent themselves in this servile position. In the Classical Caryatides, it was only the conquered races who were thus shown in soulpture, not the conquerors, to whom the soulptors themselves belonged. Possibly these are representations of the Kondaikatti Villâlars, or of some other tribe originally settled in the country, and likely to be in subjection to the Pallavas.

This tribe was so named, so from their tying the hair in a tust on the crown of the head, instead of leaving a small lock (kudumi) behind, as worn in most parts of India; or in front, as worn in Malayalam. It will be seen that this mode, peculiar to the Villalars, is clearly reproduced on the figures of these pillars, and would distinctly point to their being representations of this tribe. What is shown, is a group of figures ranged round a pier, and acting as supporters. The application is even closer than the yali in its similarity to the Caryatides. The gandharva supporting figures in the bases and cornices of Pallava architecture also illustrate the same idea. The simultaneous use of this feature in the two styles is worthy of note; and, granted the possibility of its showing that intercourse had taken place between the Greeks and Pallavas, the probability is, that, unless examples of this feature are found in India of a date anterior to that at which we find the Greeks using it—which is very unlikely—we may assume that some of the Indians who visited the West in early times, had brought the idea back with them. That there is certainly more than mere supposition in this theory, is seen by the prevalence—although slightly in the Pallava style—very commonly in later works, of debased applications of classical moulded and ornamental features.

 <sup>\*\*</sup> Epigraphic Induce, Vol. IV, Part IV, p. 177.
 \*\* Sewell; Lests II, p. 264, and Jour., R. A.S., Vol. XVI, Part I, p. 6.
 \* Wilson: Catl. Mac. Collin., p. 204; also F.N. 6., p. 6, (2).
 \*\* Ind. Ant., IV., 166 F., on the Kudumi.

In other parts of India, western influence shows itself in the architectural arts. The rails at Buddh Gaya, and Bharet (B.C. 250-200), from the distinct classical individuality of their sculptures, mark this clearly. But whether this arcse through a school of sculpture having been imported from the Baktrian Greeks, or through intercourse maintained with Rome and Byzantium during the early centuries, is not quite clear, probably both influences were at work.\* This influence

<sup>\*</sup> Herguson Ind. and Res.

during the early centuries, is not quite clear, probably both influences were at work.\* This influences were at work. This influences were at work. This influences were at work. This resolved its culminating point in the sculptures at Amaravati, which particle of both the characteristics of the Rast and West Their Western tendency is, I should be inclined to think, allied more to the Clearly than the Roman school. If this influence showed itself so markedly at an early period, it is not unresconable to estimate the common school.

Greek than the Homan school. If this influence showed itself so markedly at an early period, it is not unresconable to suppose it might appear in other forms, the more so, as the early Pallavas had intercourse with the Baktrians and Romans also. Any buildings that may have existed in India before 250 B.C. were constructed of wood, and it was only after Alexander's raid, followed by the establishment of the Baktrian kingdom,—which latterly extended its influence to the Indus,—that the stone building of the West

<sup>†</sup> Fergusson: Ind. and Has.

Arch., p. 48.

became general in the East. Its influence was thereafter felt on the coinage, sculpture, and arts generally.† These facts, taken collectively, tend to show how much the Kastern architectural arts owe in their development to those of the West. Although this impulse, to the sonstruction of buildings

in stone, is clearly traumable to Western influence, yet the early Indian architects by no means slevishly copied classical forms for their buildings. It is only in the details of parts that we see these appearing. The designs of the temples generally, are, with few exceptions, extremely stirling in their individuality of connection, and arrangement of parts; and each and every province, though adopting forms found in other districts, worked out almost a distinctive cityle of its own. The great beauty of certain cityles of Indian architecture consists in the originality and diversity, and yet continuity of forms which provide them.

The bold application of the lion support, is peculiar to this style only. It is not used to any great extent in later Dravidian examples; and, even when we find evidences of it appearing, it has lost entirely the significance of its early use. Representations of elephants are in a way -commonly used as supports, or rather bearers, and with the lion (ydli), are about the only animals I have observed, used as such (Plate No. XXIII, Figs. 1, 2, 3). Other instances of the application -at various places in India-of figures used as supports, might be multiplied indefinitely,—a pillar at Barôli is an example 84. But what has already been said is sufficient to show that this, along with many other architectural features, has analogies in Classical styles. Later Dravidian works have, in their base mouldings, and inferior cornices generally, corrupt imitations of Classical details and ornament. In the latter, the bead and reel, and egg and dart ornaments are conspicuous; but—as characteristic of their designs generally—applied without the discrimination by which they should be guided in using the different forms of details. These mouldings increase their resemblance in some points as the style advances, and in a few instances so does the general design of the building.\*5 It would be interesting to trace the origin of the late Dravidian heavy over-hanging cornice which in its general outline is exactly the reverse of the classical.

As to this feature, it has been remarked \*\* by Fergusson that 'it seems impossible that" ". . . . the great cornice of double curvature could have been brought to these fixed "forms without long experience, and the difficulty is to understand how they could ever "have been elaborated in stone at all, as they are so unlike lithic forms found anywhere "else; yet they are not wooden, nor is there any trace in them of any of their details being "derived from wooden architecture, as is so evidently the case with the Buddhist architec-"ture of the north. The one suggestion that occurs to me is that they are derived from "terra-cotta forms." In support of this theory it is remarked that, at the present day, large figures of horsemen, and giants on foot, -made of a terra-cotta substance-are found near some village temples; as also that the figures on gôpurams are not—as sometimes stated -always made of plaster, but are often formed of burnt clay. This theory holds good as regards the figures, but no examples so far as is known, are found of terra-cotta being applied to cornices. A more probable origin of the heavy cornice is found in large flat sloping slabs which are seen to serve the purpose in some of the earlier Châlukyan temples.<sup>87</sup> This form presents a number of serious defects in construction, to obviate which, the curved cornice seems to have been designed, for we find it invariably occurring in later Châlukyan examples. First, the early slab cornice, gives the desired shade, but the greater portion of it projects over the wall, giving a very minimum of stability. Secondly, it does not lie on a flat bed, and is therefore liable to slide off the wall; and thirdly, there is a difficulty in getting a proper rest for the stone beams and slabs of the flat roof. It has, in certain cases, been attempted to correct this, by pillar supports at the outer edge. The curved form obviates all these; its upper portion-which rests on the wall,being flat; and, though the bulk of the cornice still projects over the wall, it can be, and

M See Ferguson : Ind, and Best Arch., p. 451, pp. 1-251.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> The Gantie temple near Hampi, for example, has the large cell in which sits the god with a colounade of lofty bracketed columns in front. This portion—from a short distance—has exactly the general appearance of an Ionic temple, winner the raking pediment over the façade. This of course is only in the general effect, the details bear no recomblence.

<sup>46</sup> History of Indian and Bustorn Architecture, p. 878,

<sup>17</sup> See New Imperial Series - Archaeological Survey of Mails, Vol. XXI., Pl. III., Sgs. 1 and 3.

is prevented from overbalancing, by masonry resting on the top, which restores the equilibrium. In the Dravidian temples, a further safeguard was added, by a small beam running parallel to, and resting on brackets projecting from the wall. This beam supported the slabs of the cornice at about the middle of the under side of the curve, and, to get a proper rest, the under side had a number of wide hollow flutes added, one of which, rested on the beam.

The bold overhanging cyma reversa cornice of late structures, is not found in the early Pallava style as represented at Kanchipuram and Mamallapuram. At the latter place however, it is slighty more marked than at the former. In some of the Elura rock cuttings, we find a striking similarity in general effect and detail with these Kanchipuram works. At Elura however, we have an approach to the heavy comies referred to It is evidently an advance in the period of art which seems-to a certain extent-to be common to all; and, unless the style at these northern examples advanced more rapidly than in the South, it would be evidence in favour of placing the southern temples earlier than the others. Another prominent and striking similarity between the Kanchipuram Kailasanadha and Vaikuntha Perumal temples, the Kailasanadha temple at Elura, and temples at Mamallapuram, is the peculiar form of the octagonal sithara of the towers; they are almost identical in each case; and, as this feature, in a form so markedly distinct, is not found later on, it would show that there must have been a very close connection between the builders of all the three groups." The close affinity between the architecture of Elura, and that of Mamallapuram has been elsewhere noted, and the inferences given, for the supposition that the architects of the latter were the Châlukyans. The most obvious inference which ought to be drawn is, that as Elurâ is clearly later than either Mâmallâpuram, or the best examples at Kâfichîpuram, the builders of Elura came from the south, and not the Mamallapuram sculptors from the North. The pure Châlukyan style is entirely different from the Pallava, and although southern features are not wanting in Châlukyan works, such as do occur, are evidently foreign to the general characteristics of the style. Few of the features of Pallava architecture have any resemblance whatever to the leading characteristics of Châlukyan art. The only details I have seen at Kanchipuram, bearing a faint resemblance to Châlukvan sculptures, are the perforated windows and accessory panels at the Kachchesvara and Kailasanadha temples; but any similarity they may have, could only have resulted through an attempt at imitation by Pallava workmen. The finding of a few solitary examples of a southern style in a northern province,—with a distinct style of its own- is no proof that those in the North executed them. If this style is proved to be peculiar to, and general in parts of the South, then the resultant inference is that the southern people were the designers or workmen. If Châlukyan architects or workmen had produced the Elurâ or Mâmallâpuram rock cuttings, we should have had, not examples of pure Pallava art,-though probably a rude imitation of it.—but most certainly Châlukyan designs pure and simple. The architectural evidence proves that the architects were, not the Châlukyans, but the Pallavas themselves.\*8 Another northern temple-that at Pattadakal-shows some architectural features in common with those at Kanchipuram. It was "built by Vikramaditya's queen, expressly to celebrate another victory over the King of Kanchipuram." (783 Cir.) It is most probable that Pallava workers were taken north to aid in its construction. The king, from inscriptional

<sup>88</sup> See also Burgess: Arch. Rep. W. Ind., 1877-80, Pl. I; and Forgusson. Ind. and East Arch., p. 328.

M See also Fergueson and Burgess · Cave temples, 139, 140.
Description of Floor and Flore and Floor and Fl

evidence, seems to have been impressed with the beauty of the architecture he saw at Kûnchîpuram, and may have desired to have reproductions in his own dominions.

The two shrines of Tripurantakesvara and Airavatesvara are small structures, with their sculptures much weatherworn, and filled in, in some cases, with brickwork and plaster. Scenes similar to those at the other examples are however, represented in the sculptures, and the kind of stone itself, general effect, and character of the different parts are sufficient to classify them.

Tradition relates that two pillars standing erect in the road leading west from the Varadarâja temple, are the piers of the gateway to the ancient fortified city. Another of a similar kind is seen lying on the ground in front of the Vaikuntha Perumâl temple. The first two, may have formed the gateway of any walls there might have been during the fifteenth century,—though even for this, they are too slender and widely spaced,—but they could not by any possibility, have served a similar purpose during the Pallava occupation: the piers are of Vijayanagar design. (See Figs. 5 and 6, Plate No. LII.)

Before describing each of the structures in detail, it will be advisable to make a few further remarks on the leading features of this style and its relation to later Dravidian architecture, of which it is one of the chief forerunners.

There is an unmistakably characteristic individuality common to Pallava monolithic and structural temples, which at once singles them out from-and can in no wise lead to their being associated with—the mass of later Dravidian works. The later forms, though striking enough examples of a development of this primary style, -modified according to the periods to which they actually belong,—are yet, by the very exuberance of crowded detail, and want of architectural effect which characterize Dravidian architecture generally, sufficient to produce a result almost as striking as that between two distinct styles. In the Pallava--though there is abundance of detail and sculpture-the design has been so well thought out, and systematically expressed in stone, as to get the very architectural effect which the later architecture lacks. This is, to a great extent, accomplished by the application of the true principles of design; in the grouping of a number of minor features round about, -- and leading the eye up to--the central and crowning object of the structure; in these cases, this is the vimana tower. The Kailasanadha is an example of this principle The central object is the great tower over the shrine, with applied with good effect. lesser towers over the shrines at each of its corners and at the centre of each face. view outside would originally be extremely effective, for, in the peculiar arrangement of cells grouped along each side of the courtyard, each shrine has a small tower over it, which stood clear of the courtyard wall head. The modern owners of the building have, however, dono their best to spoil the effect, by filling in the spaces between the spires with masonry, so that the minarets are completely blocked up; and the outer faces of the courtyard present only a continuous line of wall, without varied skyline." That the original builders had a knowledge of the right application of materials for sound construction, is seen by the fact, that, though the temples are built of a soft sandstone, admitting of great facilities for the execution of soulpture-the sub-basements and plinths supporting the piers and walls of the superstructure are all of granite. These are the points bearing the greatest superincumbent weight, or where fracture or decay would be most likely to affect the stability of the building.

<sup>21</sup> Sewell: 14de, II, p. 265.

<sup>22</sup> In a secent restoration of the temple, these blocking walls have been removed

Fergusson points out of the want of true architectural effect which characterizes. Dravidian architecture as a whole; where the principal objects are on the outside of the design, and there is no central feature. This is evidently caused by the later architects misunderstanding or not thoroughly appreciating the principles by which they should be guided in forming the outline features of their designs. A want of appreciation of this fact is a radical defect, which the application of any amount of laborious detail can in no wise remedy; and the only effect obtained, is to give the feeling that all the work is so much labour lost, or applied to little or no purpose, and that a better architectural effect might be had with half the toil there mis-spent.

In addition to the faultiness of Dravidian designs, the several component features are always covered with a multiplicity of crowded detail or ornament. This does nothing to enhance the design viewed at any distance, and the only feeling produced, is one of wonder at the amount of patience the workers must have had to execute it. This detail is often minutely cut, and, on columns, and in interiors,—where it is intended to be, and can only be seen from a short distance,—has a striking effect; but, on gopurans, and other portions of the buildings—far removed from the eye—all this minute detail is of little use in producing the desired result. That the true principle can be applied,—and that with good effect—to Dravidian architecture, these Pallava temples exemplify.

It may, however, be granted that this effect is much easier of attainment in a small, than in a large building, and that the structures under notice are,—compared with the huge areas covered by some of the prominent Dravidian temples,--relatively small. In the larger temples, a central object, so far removed from the eye of an outside observer, would probably require-to be effective-to be built to an altitude beyond the limits of expense and construction to which the builders could attain: but I would remark that this need not necessarily be the case; the gopurams generally placed at the entrances are, in many cases, immense structures, striking the immediate beholder with their massiveness, and the degree of labour bestowed on them. A central tower, probably a little greater than each of these, with smaller towers over the gateways, need not be more expensive, or overtax the constructive abilities of the builders, and would prove an immeasurably more effective grouping as a whole, than the ineffective mirangement we generally see adopted in these large Dravidian temples. An example of this, is the temple at Tanjur. It is however, comparatively early, being of Chôla date. It shows the application to a large temple, of such an arrangement as I have noted, and is a noteworthy specimen of this principle applied with stately effect. The early architects never lost sight of it, but later on, as the style advanced, this principle of design was entirely reversed, till. what should have been the principal feature,—the central shrine tower—became in fact, the most diminutive object in the entire structure, almost or quite invisible from an outside standpoint,

There is one thing however, to be said in palliation of the faulty design seen in some of the larger temples. There were very few of them built at one consecutive time. Many were originally, groups of small shrines, latterly walled in to make one large temple; and, as each succeeding king made additions to the building, he strove to excel the works of his predecessor. The result was, that the principal features came to occupy the exterior portions of the structure. If a Dravidian architect had had an opportunity of constructing

Mist, Ind. and Bast Arch., pp. 347-850.

a large temple in its entirety, and from one pre-conceived design, he might probably have produced a work retaining in its features and their grouping, more of the spirit and true effect of good architecture, than those now ranked as typical examples of the style. But such is the effect of custom and long familiarity with forms—though avowedly wrong, and brought about by indirect means,—that if a large temple were ever to be built now-adays, an Indian architect would, most probably as not, adopt in extense the models he sees standing before him.

### KAILASANADHA TEMPLE, KANCHIPURAM.

This building stands in the fields some distance to the west of the town, and a few hundred yards south-west of the great Siva temple at Kanchipuram.

As mentioned in the foregoing introductory remarks, it is, among the group of Pallava temples, under notice, by far the most important.

For a South Indian temple, its plan is somewhat peculiar. It is unusual, only through comparison with the generally accepted arrangement seen in Dravidian Hindu temples; but these latter, compared with this are comparatively modern; and the length of time that has elapsed from the time of its building to the foundation of these others, has led to the modifications of plan adapted to modern requirements. The plan has undoubtedly been, not an unusual one for Hindu temples in early days; and similar buildings of a somewhat contemporary date, -as still exist-leave no doubt on the subject. The excavations at the "shore" temple Mâmallâpuram, which I conducted in 1884, revealed a plan very similar. Some have supposed that the Kailasanadha temple must, originally, have been a Jain shrine. The popular idea is, that such was the case; but this, like some other popular myths, will be found, on examination, to be a fallacy. In the great wealth of sculpture represented, there is not a single figure that could by any stretch of the imagination, be called Jain; and in a building such as this-where almost every available space is sculptured with mythological scenes—this is of itself, almost a convincing proof. If the temple had been once a Jain shrine, we would surely have found some such figures on it, but not a single one is so. The feature that has evidently led to this error, is the peculiarity of the groups of cells ranged along each side of the courtyard; and this, though a novel arrangement as far as temples in this part of the Peninsula are concerned, is still seen in a modified form in many or most of the large Saivite temples in these districts. The cells were originally occupied by lingus, each with its separate name, and representing a different manifestation of Siva. Several of these still remain in position. The usual somasutra opening,—for carrying off the surplus water used in the pûja-is seen through the side walls opposite each cell; they could not therefore, have been cells for devotees. Moreover, the Pallava Grantha inscriptions on the face of each, give the names, either of the different lingus or titles of the king who executed the buildings. They are all Saivite appellations. Granting that these inscriptions (on the cells) might have been executed afterwards, -although there are no grounds for believing they have been--the very sculptures themselves, on each, leave no doubt as to the purpose for which they were intended, or the religion to which they were devoted. In other temples, the lingue of this sort, are arranged in a row, on a platform along the prakara, placed side by side, but without a separate cell for each linga. The arrangement employed at the Kailasanadha, is probably a northern idea brought south, after some of their expeditions in that quarter; and moreover, as it has been supposed that their sculpture was also executed by workers from the north, this assumption may perhaps prove correct. An arrangement, somewhat resembling the cells, is seen at the Kailâsa rock temple at Elûra.24 It is, however, evidently a later development of the cells proper, being probably a transition between these, and the usual verandah round the interior of the court, seen in more modern The idea of a group of minor shrines around the principal one, is also seen in the example quoted, but differing in their plan from that at the Kanchipuram temple.

<sup>94</sup> Fergusson : Ind. and Rus. Arch., p. 334, pl. 186.

As the same typical style is found in all these Pallava temples,—with repetitions, or modifications of the same features occurring in each,—a detailed description of the notable points in the principal example may be sufficient for all purposes; with a general outline of the characteristics of design, and modifications or advancements of the style found in the lesser examples.

Most of the temples under note, have evidently always been devoted to the worship of Siva; the soulptures are generally representations of scenes from the Saivite mythology, and the inscriptions seem to bear this out.

#### GENERAL PLAN.

#### (PLATE No. XXIV.)

The plan is comprised in a large, and a smaller courtyard, with a central group of shrines placed towards the western extremity of the large one. The central shrine is surmounted by a lofty pyramidal tower. Originally, this group of shrines has stood completely detached. The entrance to the central vindus has been from the east, and still is, although now through the modern ardhamandapam.

At each corner, and on the north, south and west sides is a smaller shrine. The original door to the *vindna*, on the east side, was through a porch with a projection similar to these exterior lesser shrines. It is now blocked up by the *ardhamandapam*. Each of these shrines, and the porch, has a smaller tower, which rises up to, and is grouped alongside the greater one. Near the base at each corner and face, between the projecting shrines, a large *nandi* is placed on the ground.

On each side of the large court, is ranged a continuous series of cells, each with a small tower and sikhara over it. These sikharas have originally stood with their summits appearing above the wall head of the court, with nandis and elephants placed alternately on the wall head between them. This would form a most effective grouping as a whole, from the outside; but, for purposes of defence, or for some caprice or other, the spaces between these sitharas have been blocked up with masonry, composed of stone, brickwork and mud, so that now the outside of this court, forms a continuous line of dead wall. The superincumbent weight of this additional masonry, not originally contemplated, has caused large gaps or cracks in several parts of the walls on which it is placed, notably a few on the south side. The cell towers still show on the inside, but not on the outer face of the courtyard wall.\* On the north and south sides of this court, the cells directly opposite the central vimdna are larger than the others, and have a higher tower over them. The centre of the western wall has an entrance gopuram, with a similar tower over; the door is however, now blocked up. In front of the large central shrine—to the east—is the mahdmandapam which has once stood detached from the central group of shrines; but to suit modern requirements, is now joined to the shrine by the ardhamandapam. The entrance door to this latter building, is up a flight of steps, through a verandah on the south side; a perforated stone window opens into the mahamandapam. This latter structure is open on the four sides, with return walls at each of the corners of the building. The north and south sides have simple

<sup>&</sup>quot; See footnote on page 15.

openings, with a pilaster on each jamb of the door, while the east and west sides, are divided each by two massive piers. The space inside is divided into compartments by similar supports placed at very short intervals.

To the east of the large court, is a lesser one; and to enter the temple, it must first be passed through. A lesser temple stands in a line with the centre of the wall dividing the two courts, so that a half of it projects into each. A door is then formed in the wall on each side of this temple, by either of which, the large court is entered through the lesser. The smaller court has an exterior door on its east, north and south sides. Ranged along its eastern face are a series of eight small shrines, each with a tower over it. They are open towards the east, and are similar in design to some of the rathes at Mâmallâpuram. The shrines immediately adjacent to the lesser court, or those immediately on each side of its eastern entrance, are connected by the wall of the court; the others stand in a line north and south, and have once been completely detached from each other, though now the space between them has been filled in with rough rubble work, blocking up the sculptured panels on their sides.

About 60 yards east of these, a large stone nandi stands on a platform; and between it, and the rathas, is a circular well—5 feet in diameter—with some sculptured ratehasas cut on its walls some distance below the surface of the ground. North of the nandi is a square reservoir or tank, with stone steps on each of the four sides. The temple has seemingly been originally comprised in the larger court only, and the lesser afterwards added; the space of time between the two however, could only have been a very few years, if even that, for the style of the two is identical, and the addition of the lesser seems as though it had been an afterthought of the builders of the large court.

That it was an addition is evident, as there are pilasters, with yalis and riders, which return along the outside of the eastern wall of the large court at regular intervals, and some are thus in the interior of the lesser one. From their spacing, it is clearly seen that the north and south walls of the east enclosure abut against the yalis, in a way that would not have occurred had the lesser court been part of the original design. This eastern wall of the large court also bears evidence of having been partly demolished in the centre, to admit of the placing of the temple which stands immediately between the two enclosures. This temple must therefore have been a later addition.

The plan bears a similarity in many respects to that of the "shore" temple at Māmallāpuram, as shewn by the excavations previously referred to. In that temple, the shrines stand with a detached mandapam in front; and on each of the sides of the court was found a raised platform with pier bases remaining, as if cells, similar to those at the Kailāsanādha temple had been placed on them. The nandis placed on the ground at each corner and face of the large shrine, were also found. The two plans of course differ in some points, even as other buildings—though in one style of architecture,—may vary in their arrangement, according to the caprices of their several designers. But a close examination reveals the fact that the same general idea prevails in, and has been in the minds of the architects of both.

#### DETAILS OF ARCHITECTURE AND SCULPTURE.

In the sculptures we find—as before remarked—a complete representation of the Saivite mythology. Siva shewn as the great creator and destroyer, with the deities Brahmâ and Vishpu each severally represented doing homage to him.

The wealth of design, superiority and minuteness of execution so largely shown, are simply marvellous. Whether the designs were suggested by some master hand, or left to the individual sculptors themselves, is somewhat difficult to know, but the continuity of arrangement, and grouping of the entire series, show a thorough mastery of every detail of the art. Most of the carvings are covered with plaster, and this to a certain extent hides their beauties, but in the many places where it has fallen off, the original work is clearly seen. The plastering must have been done at some late period, for the stonework underneath, has a weatherworn appearance, only traceable to the action of many centuries of time. The stone floral work is most minutely cut, and, in places where the plaster has been laid on, over the stone carvings, the work underneath has been roughly represented by outline impressions made by some implement on the wet mortar, resembling the work which it covers. The plaster has done one good thing, in preserving the stonework from the effects of the weather; for, being in a soft friable material, the carvings would, in most cases, if not thus protected, have been almost entirely worn away.

This is seen in the Tripurântakésvara and Airâvatésvara temples, where plastering has not been resorted to, till the stone has been considerably corroded; and in plastering over, the weatherworn hollows have had to be filled up with brickwork before the stucco could be laid on.

Comparing the weatherworn appearance of these buildings with other temples in the same district, of Chôla date,—and evidently of the eleventh century,—the difference between them is too marked to be the result of even a few centuries only; and considering that the stone at the Kailasanadha temple has been covered with plaster—at what date it is difficult to say, but probably several centuries at the least—while the later examples which I quote, have not been so protected, it only supports the architectural evidence of the early foundation of this temple

#### DESCRIPTION.

The nandi mandapam to the east of the temple has only a basement remaining, on which stand four yall piers—one at each corner—and a large nandi in the centre. It originally has had,—or been intended to have had—a roof, but no traces of it now remain. It would probably be similar to, though larger than those at the Tripurantakesvara and Muktesvara temples, (see Plates Nos. CXIII and Fig. 4 in LXIX). The lowest, or sub-base is of treestone, with two granite plinths over; then a broad freestone course carved with yandharvas, while above that, is a semi-octagonal base moulding with leaf proaments on the angles and fronts. This ornament is a square, and a somewhat lozenge shaped quatrefoil leaf placed alternately, and running along the faces of the moulding; lotus leaves are on the bevelled sides; the same design is found on the vimina tower, and other bases.

so A somewhat similar ornament is common in Châlukyan work, but applied differently. In examples of that style, it is never applied to becoments, but is generally seen on the jamb mouldings of doors. Its form is slightly different, but the design is practically the same. In Pallage works, on the contrary, it is only found on the bases of buildings.

Above the semi-octagon, is a square top moulding, with sunk panels, and small projecting yalis placed at intervals on its face.

The four ydli piers stand on the top of the last member, one at each corner. The large mandi is placed in the centre, with its head in the usual position facing the temple to the west. Its height is about 6 feet, and length 7 feet. It is built in courses of freestone, and has been profusely decorated with representations of jewel ornaments: the traces of these remain, much weatherworn.

#### RATHAS.

#### (SEE PLATE No. XXV.)

On the east elevation of the temple, as before stated, eight small shrines stand in a row from north to south on each side of the eastern entrance, six on the right, and two on the left. It would seem from the placing of these, that it had been intended to have an equal number on each side—i.e., six on the left, to correspond with the number on the right. The last ratha on the left—or that on the extreme south—is incomplete in its carving, and it would seem that the intention had been to complete each before commencing another. Or probably, those which might have stood in a line to the left of it have been demolished to make way for the road, which runs along the south of the temple.

The raths on the extreme left, stands completely detached. It is a square shrine, with carved panels on the back of the chamber; these are, two figures (Siva and Pārvati) in a sitting posture, and some others under. They are much decayed, and the design can, with difficulty be made out; it seems to represent the same group that we find in the others, i.e., Siva seated with Pārvati on his left, and umbrellas over, held by attendants on each side. This grouping and design are seen in many of the large courtyard cells and shrines. It is identical with those on the shrine walls of the Māmallāpuram caves. On the exterior wall is a yāli at each of the four corners. They are only partly carved, some of the rough blocks having been scarcely touched by the chisel: each yāli block supports a pilaster, and these have moulded caps over. On the back and sides are the rough blocks of what were intended to be sculptured panels similar to the others. Between these panel spaces and the corner yālis, are small pilasters.

A small platform is in front, with yall piers (blocks uncarved), each having its capital complete.

The basement is a square granite plinth, and square freestone course with semi-cotagonal moulding over; the upper base is a square granite course on which the superstructure rests. On the wall-head—over the pilasters—are brackets which carry the cornice; all these are much weatherworn. Traces of carved floral ornaments remain on the cornice. Above, is a series of small mouldings with carved projections at intervals; each moulding is recessed or stepped back a short space from that immediately below it. Over these, is an upright portion of wall with carved figures in the centre of each space. On each side of the figures are small pilasters, with seated ydis at the corners. A double cornice caps this, and the whole is surmounted by an octagonal domed sikhara with carved pedimental ornaments on

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>94</sup> As these shrines are very similar to the monoliths at Mamallapuram, known by the name of "ratids" the term is here used advisedly.

each side. In the centre of the most of these cornices are a series of vertical holes about four inches in diameter. It would seem as if these had been intended for the poles of banners placed there during festivals.

The raths on the right of the last, is practically the same, but is in a more complete condition. The ydis on the piers are carved. Inside the shrine is a black stone lings. Description are carved on each side of the door. This shrine is attached, at its north-west corner, to the east and south walls of the eastern court.

The panels on the exterior of the walls are carved. That on the south side has a seated figure of Siva with long matted hair; he sits under a tree, with a naga on his left: some symbols in his right hand are too weatherworn to be clearly distinguished.

Between the panel and the corner pilasters, are traces of carvings, but almost obliterated by the action of the weather. On the north and west walls, there are also sculptures, but the east and south walls of the court abut against them.

To the right of this shrine—on the southern portion of the abutting eastern court wall,—are pilasters placed at intervals, with a basement under, and a double cornice over.

The doorway to the east court has yalis at the corners, pilasters on the angles of the door and a cornice over. Above the cornice is an upright portion of wall rising above the court walls on either side. In the centre of this, is a panel with Siva, Pârvati and attendants: Brahmâ and Vishņu are shewn worshipping them. At the angles of this portion, and over the cornice last mentioned, nandis are placed. The whole doorway is then crowned by a barrel-shaped pediment, lying parallel with the wall through which the door enters. On this, are a series of leaf carvings overlaid with plaster.

To the right of the doorway is the northern portion of the east wall of the lesser court. Like the wall on the left of the central entrance it has a basement, pilasters at intervals, and a double cornice over.

To the right of this wall, which abuts against it, is the third ratha, numbering them successively from the left. The design of this, and in fact the whole group of eight, are much the same as those already detailed, the only differences being in the sculptures and their relative states of preservation from decay. The base mouldings of this (third) shrine are much weatherworn. On the granite portion is an inscription in Pallava Grandha characters. The ydlis on the front pillars have tusks, and twisted trunks. A linga stands in the shrine, and there is a panel, with Siva and Parvati, carved on the back of the chamber. The dedrapdles of the door are much decayed.

The fourth raths from the left, has a lings in the shrine. The basement is much decayed, as also the shrine panel, and most of the carvings and mouldings. The yalis in front differ from those on the raths previously mentioned in that they have no tusks. The raths from third to eighth, are connected by walls of modern masonry.

The fifth is generally the same as the previous one. On the semi-octagonal base is an inscription somewhat illegible; another is on the granite sur-base. On the front and sides of the projecting façade are small elephants.

The sixth has the basement very much hollowed out. One of the ydli pillars has been removed and a plain stone substituted. A linga is inside, with a panel on the back of the shrine. The door dvdrapdlas are much worn away. Under the sub-base, a granite course of the foundations is seen above ground. This course continues right along under the following rathas without any break between them, forming a continuous platform on which the superstructures rest.

It no doubt also extends in the opposite direction to the extreme left; but, in the case of those rathes previously mentioned, it is under the soil.

The seventh is the same as the others, except that the door dvarapalas have elephant trunks. There are two inscriptions, one on the granite base, and the other on the semi-octagonal moulding.

The eighth, and last ratha—continuing the numbering from the left—has the lower base mouldings much worn; a portion of the granite sur-course is also away. The carvings on the dvarapalas are very distinctly shewn; in their ears are jewel holes. The left yali pillar is away. The right pillar has no figure carved on it, and the present stone support evidently replaces the original pier which has been removed. On the north side of this ratha is a panel with a standing figure of Siva with matted hair; he has two hands; a serpent is over his shoulder; and a worshipper stands on each side, with uplifted hands joined lotus shape. Over the panelled niche in which the figures stand, is a floral pedimental ornament, only partly carved. The central portion shews representations of two yalis, with drooping floral ornament on each side. This is very commonly shewn on most of the niches in the temple; and a similar design is seen at the Vaikuntha Perumal temple; the cave temple at Siyamangalam; and, at some of the rathas and cave temples at Mamallapuram. It is a feature, striking in its individuality, and shews the close connection between the architectural period of the groups.

In the succeeding Chôla, and later Dravidian temples, the same feature is common enough, but it has a distinctly different character. Like other details in successive developments of the primary style, the modifications of various members are each characteristic of the several successive periods of Dravidian architecture. The difference in each becomes more striking as the style advances.

The spaces between these rathas being—as I have mentioned—filled up, it is impossible to see what sculptures are on their sides; these,—unless they have been damaged when the spaces were filled in—should be in a much better state of preservation than most of the other panels, which are exposed to, and have suffered severely from the weather.

Returning along the back of the rathas from the eighth,—or that on the extreme north—and proceeding south, I shall note the sculptures on each in succession.

The group on the back of the eighth—retaining the numbering already given—has Siva on an elephant; the death noose is in his left hand; his right foot is uplifted on the elephant's head; he holds in the lower right hand a trident, and in the lower left a naga. He is represented as stripping the elephant's skin, which he waves aloft in his two upper hands. At his sides are a standing figure of a devotee on the left, and two gandharvas on the right.

The panelled back of the seventh ratha is similar to that just described, but in this case Siva has six hands. Under, are some gandharva figures. The small panel on the right has a gracefully posed female figure; that on the left a male. These are clearly cut in stone, without traces of plaster remaining. The ornament over the niche is covered with plaster.

The back of the sixth ratha has a figure seated on a chariot. The vehicle is being drawn by two horses; the front is shewn, and between them is a carved and moulded shaft. In Siva's two left hands are a naga and trident; one of his right is held in the boon-conferring

attitude (abhayahasta); the other hands hold various symbols. In each panel on the sides of the central one is a minor celestial being, each with four hands. Under the granite surbase are small elephant blocks.

On the back of the fifth ratha is a seated Saivite figure in the central panel. It has eight hands and the usual Saiva symbols in each. The lower portion is much weatherworn. In the panel on the right of the central one is a female figure in a strikingly graceful attitude. The figure in the left panel is too much decayed to be clearly distinguished. The ornament over, is partly covered with plaster. An inscription is on the base.

The sculptures on the back of the fourth ratha are much decayed; some of the symbols only—such as the axe and noose, held by the principal figure—can be made out.

#### EXTERIOR OF THE COURT.

On the north exterior side of the large courtyard are twenty-two pilasters at regular intervals, and one at each of the north-east and north-west corners. Each pilaster has a ydk, with rider seated on reverse sides at each alternate bay. A granite course runs along the lower basement of the wall. Between the ydks are the openings for earrying off the water from the cells on the interior of the wall.

On the west exterior side,—in the centre—is a gateway with a small gopuram tower over; the door is now blocked up. An elephant and gandharva are seated on the wall head, and an upper central panel has Siva seated in yôgásana with his hands across his knees. On this façade of the court wall there are five ydļi pilasters on either side of the central doorway. A continuous cornice runs along the top of the ancient wall; above this is the modern portion, blocking up the cell sikharas before alluded to.

The south exterior side of the great courtyard is spaced by pilusters, similar to those on the northern side. Numbering from the south-west corner there are large cracks in the wall between the first and second, fourth and fifth, and eighteenth and nineteenth pilasters. These fissures are seemingly caused by the great weight of the modern superstructure built on the top of the ancient wall; a mass of material, which the original foundations were never intended to carry. It would be well, if the temple authorities could be induced to remove it, as it would conduce both to the stability of the court walls and add to the external beauty of the structure in general. Should the cracks, referred to, bring down portions of the wall,—and in time they certainly will,—the openings so made, if repaired at all, would most assuredly be filled up with unsightly brick and plaster work. Should this superstructure be removed, the sikharas, elephants and nandis would be exposed to view, and the temple better seen in every way.\*

#### INTERIOR OF THE SMALL (EAST) COURT.

On the interior side of the east wall, on each side of the central entrance, are pilasters with figures between, three panels on each side. The panels immediately on each side of the door have dedrapdlas: the others, figures of Siva seated with his attendants: these are

all covered with stucco. Projecting into the two east corners of this court are the rathas (Nos. 2 & 3). In the north-east corner is a stone with a well-carved figure of Siva seated on a bull. In Siva's two right hands are a trident and naga; the left hands also hold other symbols. On the panels of the ratha projecting into the south-east corner, are figures of Siva seated in noggasans. On a stone of the base, on the south inner side of the east entrance, is a short inscription in foliated characters. On each of the north and south sides of this court is a doorway, at present blocked up with mud. A panel on the left side of the south door has a seated you with a kuja in his left hand; two minor figures are under.

Next to the last panel is a sculpture representing Parvati and the lion. She has a trident and umbrella; the figures are covered with plaster, but the design, though smaller, seems similar in its grouping to another of the same subject close by. This other will be described in its proper place.

On the right of the last is a small shrine, having the back panel sculptured with seated figures of Siva and Pârvatî. Siva has been converted into Brahmā, by the addition—in plaster only—of a face on either side of his original one. Attendants stand at the sides of the central group. There have been two ydli piers in front, but these are now away, and in their places are two brick piers.

The panel to the right is a large one, and has a sculptured group of twelve sages, who seem to be listening to the exhortations of the philosophic Siva (Dakshindmarti), who is represented seated under a banyan tree in a panel opposite this one, on the south side wall of the central shrine in this court. The panel with the sages occupies a position on the south wall of the court, and the two panels exactly face each other. This ingenious arrangement is adopted on the opposite side of this court, and also on the north and south sides of the large vindaa.

On the north side of this (lesser) court, at the north-east corner, is the figure of Siva and the bull already described. At the same corner is the ratha (No. 8) corresponding to that on the opposite side of the east entrance above noted. The panel on this one is however, different from the other. In this, a figure of Lakshmi is seated on a lotus; she holds two lotus buds in her hands; a zone is round her waist; a garland on her neck; and a crown on her head: elephants pour water over her.

In the north wall of the same court is a built-up doorway, opposite that in the south wall. On the left of this door is a figure of Ganapati, with umbrella and two chauries over; in his left hands are symbols—one a lotus, but the other undistinguishable. In the right are a noose, and another which seems an elephant goad. The walls over the north and south doors to this enclosure are somewhat ruined.

On the same wall as the last panel, and to the left, is a small shrine attached to the wall; it stands opposite the one on the south side; and the two are similar, with the exception that the ydli piers of this one are complete. To the left of the shrine is a large panel; it is opposite the large one noted on the south side. The subject is much the same in both cases; in this panel, eleven scated sages are listening to the exhortations of Siva, who is represented in a panel on the north side of the central shrine. He is armed with different symbolical weapons, and seems to be preaching war.

#### MAHÉNDRAVARMÉSVARA SHRIWE.

The small temple which stands in the centre of the wall dividing the lesser and large courts bears the modern name of Nårada lings temple. From an inscription however, on the side of the stair, the ancient name seems to have been Mahandravarméévaragriham. The wall which divides the two courts abuts against the north and south walls of this shrine, so that a portion of it projects into each court; the entrance is from the east.

The lower base has two courses of granite; above these, is a freestone course, with a row of sculptured gandharvas. These figures are represented with their hands raised above their heads with the palms of their hands flattened against the moulding over, as if they were intended to be shown as supporting the building.

Over the figures is a semi-octagonal member, carved on each of the bevelled surfaces with leaf ornaments. The sur-base is recessed back from the last, and has blocks carved into elephants' heads, under each pilaster on the façades of the superstructure: the basement is finished with an inscribed granite course. The door enters on the east side; the granite block on each side of the stair leading up to the entrance is carved into a sort of scroll (see Plates Nos. XXVI and XXVII), with the name Mahêndravarmêsvaragriham on the outer sides in Pallava Grantha characters. The plan is a simple perch or adytum, off which the shrine enters. The sides of the adytum are soulptured with figures considerably over life size; the back walls of the perch and shrine have also carved figure subjects.

In the interior of the porch on the right side, is a row of the hamsa or sacred geese; over these, is a large kneeling figure with eight hands; the symbols on the right side, are, a chaurie, noose, and others broken and covered with plaster: in two of the left hands are two balls, probably representing lime fruits.

The panel opposite the last, on the left inner side of the porch, has a large finely carved figure of Jimutaketu or the cloud bannered (Siva). He is represented with matted hair: the right knee bent; he has a righly carved crown, and neck, arm and leg ornaments. Over his left shoulder is a garland, with rings, of what seem intended for bones; another has alternate square and round ornaments, on each of which is a sculptured skull: these reach The waist ornament is broad, and has several bands of different ornadown to his ankles. mental designs. The anklets are in circles of balls; and on the feet are well carved and ornamented sandals, (pådaraksha). On each sandal, the kamil-or small knob between the toes -- is shown. On his left side is the three-hooded adga, with its tail twisted upwards, and resting on his hand. The cloud banner is held in a left hand over the shoulder, goes behind him and droops over the right, down to the waist: on the upper portion of the same side is—apparently— a club. A worshipping famale figure stands on his right; two females are on the left, one of which, profusely ornamented with jewels, is kneeling and worshipping: the other female on the left side supports one of Siva's left hands. There are some other minor attendants in the group.

This panel is remarkably striking in the arrangement and execution of the whole design, even the smallest ornaments being clearly and beautifully out.

On the back wall of the porch is the door to the shrine; on either side of it is a niche, each with a figure of Lakshmi: between the niches and door, are dvdrapdles with chauries.

In the shrine, is a large black stone moulded lings. On the back wall, in a niche, is a panel, sculptured with scated figures of Siva and Pârvati, with Brahma, Vishnu, and attendants worshipping.

## EXTERIOR OF MAHENDRAVARMESVARA GRIHAM.

On the south side of this shrine—facing into the lesser court—is the large panel before referred to, as being opposite the sculptured group of twelve sages (p. 26). It represents the figure of Dakshinamarti, or Siva in his  $y \partial g i$  seat, under a banyan tree. He has four hands; in the upper right is a noose; and in one of the left is a serpent; his hair is matted; and his knees are bound together with a cloth. In the same panel are a male, and female and two deer.

Over the niche is a central figure of Ganapati, with a ydli on each side, from whose jaws, carved foliage spreads along either side of the top, and droops down, forming a canopy over the principal figures of the panel below. On each side of the large panel is a set of three small ones; in the right lower are two figures seated cross legged; they have matted hair, and are seemingly yôgis; the panel over has a lion and two gandharvas; the top panel has a musician playing to amuse Siva.

The lowest of the three panels—on the left of the central one—has two figures, one of which is a  $y \theta g i$  with beard, matted hair and crown: the upper tier of panels is similar to the right side.

The features of all these figures have rather a marked form of countenance; the noses are pointed and flat, and give a curious expression to the face. They are similar in this respect to the figures on the bases of the piers at the Kachohéévara temple, and probably represent the type common among some of the tribes in early times in the country, (see Plates Nos. XXVI, XXI and XX).

On the east exterior face of this shrine, the central feature is the door, with a large dyarapala on each side. At each of the north-east and south-east exterior corners of the building is a large yali.

The north side has a design similar in its main features and grouping, to the south side. The principal figure is Siva, but, in this case, he is shown in a fierce attitude, armed with numerous symbolical weapons, and seemingly preaching war to his disciples seated in the panel directly opposite, (see page 26). He has eight hands, the upper right and left support an elephant's skin over his head; in the right, are drum, club and trident; one of the left touches his crown; another has a noose, and the lowest is empty. Over the figure is a row of gandharvas, and a cornice with carved blocks.

#### EAST DOORS TO THE INNER COURT.

Mention has already been made of the east wall of the larger court which abuts against the north and south walls of the temple just described. Through this wall—one on either side of this shrine,—is a door, entering from the small into the greater court.

The door on the south side has, on its right jamb, a few remaining letters of an ancient inscription. The left jamb has a boldly carved representation of Parvati and the lion. She has sixteen hands; over her right are an umbrella and two balls; the right hands have noose, shield, and drum; two hands bend her bow, which is large and extends right across her

body from top to bottom of the panel. On the same side is a three-hooded naga. On the left side are a trident, a portion of the bow, war club, and couch; one hand is under the umbrella, and the remaining hands on this side are unarmed. The lion is shown with much vigour of expression; the tusks and claws are all clearly out, and the neck and body of the animal are decorated with minutely carved jewels.

This panel is free of plaster, and the striking attitude of the figures, arrangement and grouping of the different members, and the artistic finish of the workmanship can be distinctly seen. The design, grouping and general effect of this panel are exactly similar to the well-known sculpture at Māmallāpuram, representing Pārvati on the lion, fighting the bull-headed Mahishāsura. In the Kānchīpuram sculpture, the latter figure is omitted, but it is otherwise almost identical with the left half of the one at the Seven Pagodas. (See Plate No. XXIX.)

The east door entering the large court on the north side of the Mahêndravarma shrine, has the remains of a long inscription on the left side. On the other is a panel, similar in style and execution to that just described; the subject is however different. The other showed Pârvati, this represents Lakshmî seated on a lotus, with her feet resting on another of the same flowers; she has also a lotus bud in each hand. On each side stands a female attendant with chauris. Over Lakshmi's head are, what seem to be the five hoods of a snake; and an elephant with water pot is on either side. There are traces of colour on this panel. (Plate No. XXX.)

#### INNER COURT.

Entering the large court, the first sculptures are those on the back of the Mahendravarma shrine.

The base moulding round the back of this shrine is the same as that on the sides already described (p. 27); the upper member of the basement on this side is also inscribed.

The central back panel is a large one; the principal figures are Siva and Pârvati seated on a throne, with three chaurie-bearing attendants. On each side is a yāļi, and niches, with figures of Vishņu, Lakshmi, and gandharvas; under the panels are two large elephants' beads represented as supporting the groups. At each of the corners of the building are yāļis with riders and gandharvas. Over these sculptures is an elaborate cornice; the lower member is sculptured with a row of supporting gandharvas; a pedimented and double cornice over; and above the last, on the first storey of the tower is a set of three panelled sculptures. The central panel has Siva as a yōgi, with an attendant on right and left, and two detached yāļis is front. The panel on either side has each a many-armed figure. The upper portion of the tower is formed of three sets of cornices, the top tier having a central figure of Narasimha; the figure is covered with comparatively modern plaster work, so it is difficult to distinguish what it may have been originally. The whole tower is crowned by a barrel-shaped bikhara with leaf ornaments and finials (See Plate No. XXXI).

#### CELL SCULPTURES.

In proceeding with the sculptures on the series of cells on the four side of the large court, it will be convenient to begin with those immediately to the south of the Mahéndravarma

shrine on the east side of the court, and continue the numbering in succession, round the south, west, north and north half of the cost sides, returning to the starting point.

All these cells have originally had their sitheres appearing over the old wall-head of the enclosing wall, with nandis, and elephants alternately, between each. Some of the sitheres are now ruined, and their place has been plastered over; this is notably the case on the east wall, which is thicker than the other three, so that when the modern work was added to the wall head, and carried up—with the same thickness as the ancient substructure—to overtop the small towers, it almost completely covered them. The animals placed between the cell towers, only appear out of the thickness of the recent masonry, at intervals.

All the cells have had inscriptions on three members of the basements, though some have now been worn away; the lowest is—in all cases—foliated.

No. 1 cell.—Immediately to the south of the Mahendravarma shrine, is open in front, and has panelled seated figures of Siva, Parvati, and child.

Space between Nos. 1 and 2.—Siva and Parvati.

No. 2.—Open in front; same as No. 1.

Space between Nos. 2 and 3, same as No. 1.

No. 3.—Same.

Space between No. 3 and south-east corner recess, same.

The recess in the south-east corner is not a shrine proper like the others, so it may be omitted in the numbering; it is open towards the west and has a bas-relief of Ganapati.

Returning along the south wall, the space between the south-east corner recess and No. 4 cell, has Pârvati seated under a banyan tree; one large and two small elephants are on the left side. A yôgi sits, with his knees bound, on the back of the large animal. A female attendant is on the right.

No. 4 is open towards the east, as also are the others on this wall; the sculptures are on the outer front of the screen walls enclosing them from the prakara of the court. This cell has Parvati and the lion, with symbols, and a general design similar to that already described on the left door between the two courts (see p. 28). In this panel, an additional gandharva figure stands on the left. The upper portion of the sculpture is plastered over, but the lower part has the stone exposed, and shows its delicate cutting. (See Plate No. XXXII, Fig. 1.)

The space between Nos. 4 and 5, has the usual panel of Siva and Pârvati.

No. 5, opens towards the east; it has a seated Saivite figure, with four hands and symbols; these latter are undistinguishable through a covering of plaster. (Plate No. XXXII, Fig. 2.)

Between Nos. 5 and 6.—Siva and Pârvati. No. 6, Pârvati under a tree, with a female chaurie bearer on each upper side of the panel; two figures and a kneeling worshipper are on each lower side. (Plate No. XXXIII, Fig 5.)

BETWEEN Nos. 6 AND 7.—Siva and Pârvati.

No. 7.—A large Saivite figure with four hands, on a chariot drawn by two horses; over the horses' heads is a pillar supporting a bull. Over the bull is one, and on the extreme right of the figure are two gandharvas. On the left of the charioteer, and in front of the vehicle, is a Brahmā. (Plate No. XXXIII, Fig. 6.)

BETWEEN Nos. 7 AND 8.—Pârvati seated under a tree; a deer is on her left, and two are underneath; a bird, probably a peacock, is on a branch of the tree; a female attendant is on her right.

No. 8.—Siva riding on a bhata; four figures with various symbols are on the left. (Plate No. XXXIII, Fig. 1.)

BETWEEN Nos. 8 AND 9 .- Siva and Parvati.

No. 9.—Is rather a curious group; the principal figure is Narasimha; he is supported by a figure on the right, and they fight with another one on the left; a pedestal stands in the centre. (Plate No. XXXIII, Fig. 2.)

BETWEEN Nos. 9 AND 10.—Parvati under a tree; a female attendant is on her right and a yeqi on her left; beneath the last are three bulls with long curved horns.

No. 10.—Has a number of figures with arrangement and attitudes very spirited and well designed. Two chief figures occupy the centre of the panel; one is Brahmâ on the right, supported by six-armed gandharvas who spring from a lotus flower with leaves and buds under: a worshipping figure kneels beneath the lotus. Another on Brahmã's right is being pushed towards him by a figure—probably Yama the god of death. Two others occupy positions near Yama, evidently waiting their turn. (Plate No. CXXIII, Fig. 6.)

BETWEEN Nos. 10 AND 11.—Parvati under a tree, attendant, bird and two elephants.

No. 11.—Is a many-armed Vaishnava figure, with conch, club, bow, sword and shield. His left foot is stretched up, measuring the hanging head of a snake; two figures are on his left. On the left of the panel is Vishnu in his dwarf incarnation (Vâmana), with the king and queen. A Jâmbavantu is in the upper portion of the panel. (Plate No CXXIII, Fig. 7.)

BETWEEN Nos. 11 AND 12.—Siva and Parvati. This panel shows the churning of the coean. The five-hooded serpent is coiled round the base of the mountain (Mandâra). Vishņu, on the left, holds the mountain; and the Dévas on the right, who are represented by five figures, twist the tail of the serpent. At Vishņu's left hand, and between him and the hill, stands a Déva, on whom Vishņu places one of his hands. Four gandharvas are on the mountain. A horse, probably Indra's, (Uchchaiśravas), which has just sprung from the ocean, is on the extreme left. (Plate No. XXXIII, Fig. 8.)

BETWEEN Nos. 12 and 13.—Pârvati and attendants, birds, and two elephants.

No. 13.—A central figure of Siva with five gandharvas worshipping under. A male, holding a symbol, stands on his left, with a gandharvas over. Above these, is a five-hooded ada, as also another on Siva's right. (Plate No. XXXIII, Fig. 4.)

Between Nos. 13 AND 14.—Same as between Nos. 12 and 13.

No. 14.—Shows Siva cutting off one of the heads of Brahmâ, and holding it in one of his left hands; Brahmâ sits in a dejected attitude on the left. A devotee—with arms crossed in amazement—sits under Siva on Brahmâ's right. In Siva's right hands are sword, trident, snake and noose; in his left are Brahmâ's head, and broken symbols. There is not much plaster on the panel, and the deep and bold cutting is seen to advantage, giving fine effects of light and shade. (Plate No. XXXIV, Fig. 1.)

BETWEEN Nos. 14 AND 15 .- Sive and Parvati.

No. 15.—Shows Siva—as a hunter—fighting with, and slaying the king, who was afterwards turned into a pig. Two figures are shown with right and left legs advanced. An animal on the under right side of the panel seems to represent Varâha. (Plate No. XXXIV, Fig. 2.).

Between Nos. 15 and 16.—Parvati with an attendant on her left; two elephants, and a bird on her right.

No. 16.—Siva in yôgdeana, resting on the shoulders of a devotee. Two yôgis sit in meditation, on what seem to be clouds. (Plate No. XXXV, Fig. 1.)

BETWEEN NOS. 16 AND 17 .- Siva and Parvati.

No. 17.—Siva with club, bow, trident and noose, stands on a platform supported by a devotee, and the five-hooded human-faced Adisêsha. Vishnu on an attendant, worships Siva; two hands are closed in adoration; other two hold his emblems, the conch and discus. (Plate No. XXXV, Fig. 2.)

Between Nos. 17 and 18.—Sive—armed with a large club—and his wife Pârvati.

No. 18.—Siva kills a double-headed rakshasa with his trident. A figure sits under the weapon; three others, and a snake are on his left; the head of another appears on the right. A figure on Siva's left—midway up the panel—has a tiger's legs, and probably represents Vyaghrapada. On the upper right portion of the panel, Siva is seen seated with his wife Parvati, soaring through the sky. (Plate No. XXXVI, Fig. 1.)

BETWEEN Nos. 18 AND 19 —Pärvati stands, with two figures on each side. On the west side of this space are two representations of Brahmā—one kneeling, and the other standing; over are two gandharvas.

No. 19.—Is a large cell, open in front. It has a yâh dvârapâla on each corner; and two yâh pillars on the platform in front. On the back of the cell, Brahmâ sits with a figure on each side; and two gandharvas over, worshipping. (Plate No. XXXVI, Fig. 2.)

A tower of different outline, and higher than the others, surmounts this shrine. (Plates Nos. XXXVI and XXXVII.)

BETWEEN Nos. 19 AND 20.—Pârvati placing her foot on the head of a buffalo. An attendant kneels on each side. Pârvati is armed with a dagger, trident, discus, and club in her right; and sword, conch, serpent, and axe in her left hands. On the left side of this space are worshipping figures of Bramha, an attendant and two gandharvas.

No. 20.—Siva with twelve hands, each armed with the usual Saivite emblems. On his right is an attendant, and four others are on his left. (Plate No. XXXVIII, Fig. 1.)

Between Nos. 20 and 21.—Nine devotees sit in contemplation, with an umbrella over each. The two figures on the extreme left side are Ganêsa and Siva, armed with their several symbols. In continuation of these—but on the back—are the other seven; the first has—in the stone sculpture—been a female figure, but in the overlaid plaster work, two additional faces have been added, to convert it into Brahmâ. The next three, are female devotees; the others are—Nandikêsvara; a female; and the last, Siva.

No. 21.—Siva treading on Vyâdhi, the lord of sickness. The latter is on his back, with head hanging down; a three-headed nâga stretches its hoods over the recumbent figure; Siva's axe rests on the back of the snake. Siva has eight hands; in his right is a roll of beads. A small animal—resembling a cat—rests on the knees of the fallen Vyâdhi. (Plate No. XXXVIII, Fig. 2.)

Between Nos. 21 and 22.—A seated Siva, with eight arms, holding snake, bell, trident, axe, etc.

No. 22.—Siva, armed with the usual weapons, sits on the back of an elephant. A female devotee is in front. (Plate No. CXXIII, Fig. 1.)

Between Nos. 22 and 23. -- A yogi with four attendants.

No. 23.—Siva—with Parvati standing by his side, supports, and places in his hair, Ganga, the goddess of the river Ganges. (Plate No. CXXIII, Fig. 2.)

BETWEEN No. 23 AND SOUTH-WEST CORNER CELL.—Sive and Parvati with five attendants.

The last panel completes those on the south side of the court. The elevation of the complete group is given in Plate No. XXXVII.

#### CELLS ON THE WEST INNER SIDE OF THE COURT WALL.

Returning along the inner west side of the large court, and continuing from the south-west corner, the first space between the corner cell and No. 24 has—on the back—Siva and Pârvati.

On the left side is Siva, armed with his different weapons, dancing on a serpent; Parvati stands near, and rests her hand on one of two kneeling gandharvas. (See Plate No. CXXIII, Fig. 3.)

No. 24.—Siva, Parvati, and child.

BETWEEN Nos. 24 AND 25 .- Siva, and Parvati, with attendants.

No. 25.—Siva, and Parvati, with attendants, one of whom seems to be Brahmâ.

BETWEEN Nos. 25 AND 26.—Siva and Parvati.

No. 26.—Siva, and Parvati, with attendants.

Between Nos. 26 and 27.—Siva, and Parvati, with attendants.

No 28.—Western doorway (built up). On one side is a dedrapdla; in the inner side are two ydii pillars somewhat different from those on the fronts of the cells; the figures on the piers, with hands clasped, kneel on a round lotus base; a five-hooded adga is above each; and over it rests the pillar.

BETWEEN Nos. 28 AND 29.—Sive and Pârvati.

No. 29 .- Siva and Pârvati.

BRTWEEN Nos. 29 AND 30,-Siva and Pârvati.

No. 30.—Siva and Parvati, with child and umbrella over.

BETWEEN Nos. 80 AND 31.—Sive and Parvati, with umbrella over.

No. 31.—Siva, Parvati, child, and umbrella.

BETWEEN Nos. 31 and 32.—Siva and Pârvati, with umbrella.

No. 32.—Siva, Parvati, child, and umbrella.

Between No. 52 and north-west corner.—Siva, Parvati, child, and umbrella.

The above completes the panels on the west side. It will be observed that they are less varied than those before described.

#### CELLS ON THE NORTH SIDE OF THE COURT.

Returning along the north side, the space between the north-west corner recess, and No. 33, has the usual panel of Siva and Pârvati seated.

No. 33.—Two figures of Siva; one rests on an attendant with an axe over his shoulder; the figure on the right has his left foot resting on a platform. (Plate No. XXXIX, Fig. 1.)

Between Nos. 33 and 34.—Pârvati under a tree, with attendant and chaurie.

No. 34.—Siva and Parvati seated by the side of a pillar. Three figures—one a devotee, and the others, two gandharvas, kneel under; one of the latter has a serpent, and the other a bow.. (Plate No. XXXIX, Fig. 4.)

BETWEEN Nos. 84 and 85.—Parvati holding a parrot; an attendant is behind, and two elephants underneath.

No. 35.—Sive scated in *yogdsome* under a banyan tree; his right leg rests on a deer; two *gandharvas* are held up on each side in his hands. Two *yogus* kneel under. (Plate No. XL, Fig. 1.

Between Nos. 35 and 36.—Eleven yôgie are scated in a row on a platform; at each end of the group is a yôgie.

On the right side is a panel with Parvati under a tree, and chauric bearer on each side.

No. 36.—Siva in a kneeling posture; he has ten hands each armed with a different symbolical weapon. (Plate No. XL, Fig. 2.)

BETWEEN Nos. 36 AND 37 .- Blank.

No. 37.—Is a large panel. The principal figure is Vishņu, scated with a wife on each side; over are two attendants, who hold umbrellas, chauries, and symbols; on one side is a male, and on the other a female dvårapāla. Vishņu seems to be scated in contemplation of Siva, who is sculptured on a panel exactly opposite this one on the north wall of the vindaa. (Plate No. XLI, Fig. 1.)

BETWEEN Nos. 37 and 38.—Siva and Pârvati; on the left side is another Saivite figure with five attendants.

No. 38.—Siva as a  $y \circ gi$ , seated with Parvati; two gandharvas support the pedestal on which they sit. Brahma sits on the left of the panel and aids in supporting the pedestal. Another figure, over Brahma, sits with hands crossed in contemplation. (Plate No. XLI, Fig. 2.)

BRIWEEN Nos. 35 AND 39.—Pârvati playing on a vina; a parrot is on the left side; attendant with chautic on right, and two elephants underneath.

No. 39.—Siva and Pârvati attended by two servants and a gandharva. (Plate No. CXXIII, Fig. 4.)

BETWEEN Nos. 39 AND 40.—Pârvati, holding in her left hand a flower, on which sits a parrot; an attendant is on her right, and a figure sits cross-legged under.

No. 40.— Siva, Pârvati, and three attendants, supported on a lotus by Brahmâ. (Plate No. CXXIII, Fig. 5.)

BETWEEN Nos. 40 AND 41.—Siva and Pârvati.

No. 41.—Siva, Parvati and two attendants, supported on a lotus by Vishņu. Siva has Brahma's head placed on the top of his own. An attendant of Vishņu stands by, holding his conch and chakra. (Plate No. XLII, Fig. 1.)

BETWEEN Nos. 41 AND 42 .- Parvati, with attendant, parrot and two elephants.

No. 42.—Śiva, with Pârvati on his right. On the right of Pârvati are an attendant and gandharva. A female figure, probably Gangâ, stands on Śiva's left hand. On the same side are Sûrya, Brahmâ, and a female with umbrella over. (Plate No. XLII, Fig. 2.)

Between Nos. 42 and 48.—Pârvati, attendant and two deer under a tree.

No. 43.—Siva, and Pârvati, with two gandharvas and two attendants. (Plate No. XXXIX, Fig. 3.)

BETWEEN Nos. 48 AND 44.—Siva, and Pârvati, a halo—in plaster—is over Siva's head.

No. 44.—Siva dances, and supports a large five-hooded ndya with human head; the snake is coiled round his head. Underneath, are two dancing gandharvas. (Plate No. XXXIX, Fig. 5.)

Between Nos. 44 AND 45.—Pârvati, attendant, bird and two elephants.

No. 45.—Siva and Parvati seated; under, are two attendants—one standing, and the other kneeling. A yandharva, on the under side of Siva's right, holds a mace, which

extends up, and supports a yall bearing a lotus, over which is a gandharva with a halo. (Plate No. XLIII, Fig. 1.)

BETWEEN Nos. 45 AND 46.—Pârvati, attendant, bird and two deer.

No. 46.—Siva stands with his left foot raised, and resting on a pedestal; he holds a musical instrument across his body. Two devotees,—one with knotted hair, and the other bearded—stand on his left. Two bulls are seen—on the left of Siva—ascending the sky, with Siva and Parvati on each. (Plate No. XLIII, Fig. 2.)

BETWEEN Nos. 46 AND 47 .- Siva and Parvati.

No. 47.—Śiva seated on Nandikėśvara. Above, on each side, is a gandharva; and below, two attendants. (Plate No. XLIV, Fig. 1.)

BETWEEN Nos. 47 AND 48.—Parvati with an attendant; a you is underneath.

No. 48.--Siva,—with Pârvati—placing Ganga on his head. A kneeling devotee supports another, who with uplifted hands is adoring Siva. (Plate No. XLIV, Fig. 2.)

BETWEEN Nos. 48 AND 49.—Siva and Parvati.

No. 49.—Siva, Pârvati and child; Brahmâ and Vishņu, with gandharvas under, are worshipping the triad. (Plate No. XLV, Fig. 1.)

BETWEEN Nos. 49 AND 50.—Pârvati, attendant, bird and bull.

No. 50.—A standing Saivite figure—six armed—is being worshipped by Brahmā and Vishnu. (Plate No. XLV, Fig. 2.)

BETWEEN Nos. 50 AND 51 .- Siva and Parvati.

No. 51.—Siva, as a  $y\delta gi$ , preaching to four devotees. Siva has a beaded waist belt; and sandals with bead on each passing through between the toes.

The panel is entirely free of plaster, and the different details show the usual deep and bold cutting. (Plate No. XLVI, Fig. 1.)

BETWEEN Nos. 51 AND 52.—Pârvati, attendant, elephant and bird.

No. 52.—A large figure of Brahmâ supporting Hanumân in his left hand. Hanumân is worshipping a linga. (Plate No. XLVI, Fig. 2 and Plate No. XLVII.)

BETWEEN No. 52 and the north-east corner, is a seated figure of Siva armed with a club. In the north-east corner is an illegible inscription.

These complete the panels on the north side of the court.

#### EAST SIDE OF THE COURT.

Returning along the east side, from the north-east corner, the first space between that corner and No. 53 has a back panel with Siva and Pârvati; also another with Siva on the left side.

A detached Saivite image stands in this space.

No. 58.—Siva and Pārvati.

Space between Nos. 3 and 44.—Siva and Parvati.

No. 54, - Siva and Parvati.

BETWEEN Nos. 54 and 55.—Siva and Parvati.

No. 55.—Siva and Pârvati. (Plate No. XXXI.)

These panels complete the series on the interior sides of the large court and bring us to the right east entrance to the enclosure. This door has a dvdrapála on each side. A few of these figures have holes in their ears, evidently intended for the fixing of metal jewels; others also, probably have them, though they may be hidden under the plaster. This has been previously remarked on.

## MAHÂMANDAPAM.

This building stands in the east central portion of the large court. I have already shown (p. 11, F.N. 77) it originally stood detached from the central vindaa, though now a modern structure connects the two buildings. Some of the most strikingly archaic details in the entire temple are found in the mahdmandapam, these being the massive stone piers, with heavy square capitals (Plate No. XLVIII, Fig. 1), on one of which, is a later Châlukyan inscription (page 11).

The plan is a rectangle with the greater length from north to south. The four sides are open in the centre, and on each façade a portion of the wall is returned along the several elevations from the four corners. The east front has the entrance divided into three bays by two square piers, with a responding pilaster on the return wall at each side.

The west side—or back—is similarly divided, but the piers are octagonal for a portion of their length.

The north and south entrances are simple openings,—with pilasters on the sides,—undivided by piers. Inside, between these two doorways—extending north and south—are two rows of four piers each. These are octagonal for a portion of their length: the central space between the rows is equal to the breadth of the north and south doors; the four central piers of these rows are in a line east and west with the piers of the east and west openings; and the remaining central piers are severally in a line with the responding pilasters of the same openings. The ceiling of the mandapam is thus divided into fifteen almost equal spaces.

The two square piers on the east front are in free stone; the left one has inscriptions on the front, sides and back; and the right pier an inscription on the front. All the piers are without bases. The capitals are of one design throughout, square, with great projection,—the extreme projecting mouldings of each, almost touching each other (see Plate XLVIII, Fig. 1). The details of the capitals are, a necking, large torus, cyma recta square abacus having rolls and band on the face, and brackets over. The two front piers are square throughout; the others have the lower portion square, the middle octagonal, and the upper portion—including the capital—square; all have a slight taper in their length. Exclusive of the two front piers, the others are granite; but the capitals and brackets in all cases are freestone. Several have circular lotus discs carved on the faces of the lower and upper square portions: these are identical with those on the piers of the north rock-cut cave at Mâmandûr.

The Châlukyan inscription—before referred to—is on the west face of the north pier on the west side next the ardhamandapam; it is considerably weatherworn but being deeply out the letters are quite legible. It is at present completely protected by the east wall of the ardhamandapam, so the weather marks could only have been caused before the erection of the latter building.

The very design of the west opening shows it was never intended to be blocked up as it now is, but to present an open façade like that on the east.

Two ydis on the back responding pilasters are abutted against and partly covered by the east wall of the modern ardhamandapam.

The cornice in the compartments of the ceiling over the piers is a square moulding, with a projecting row of supporting gandharvas over; the ceiling itself is in flat stone slabs.

A perforated window in the east wall of the ardhamandapam opens into the makemandapam. It is much older than the building in which it now is, and has evidently been taken from some other place and built in where we now find it. The perforated work is a series of twisting boughs with openings between, partly filled by cross buds. Over the opening is a triple cornice with horse-shoe shaped panel; in it is a figure of Siva, with eight arms; Parvati is on his left, and Nandikeévara on his right. On the circumference of the panel is a leaf ornament springing from ydis at the foot. (See Plate No. XLIX.)

Another window somewhat similar, is seen in the Kachéávara temple. (See Plate No. CXXII.) It also, has evidently been removed from some other temple probably the Kailásanadha, and inserted in its present place. Both these are in a dark stone, and the panel in the former window is designed slightly after the style of some of the blackstone Châlukyan sculptures found in the Bellary District.

On the outside of the mahamandapam the ground line is now on a level with the octagonal member of the basement. The mouldings above ground are similar to the base universally applied in other parts of the building: the upper square granite course is level with the floor. A Tamil inscription is cut on each of the faces of the base on the floor elevations; it returns along the back, but is blocked up by the east wall of the ardhamandapam, and only a few letters appear at each end.

On the east front—at the two corners of the return walls—are yalis with pilasters over. On each wall is a large panelled group, having a central niche with large Saivite figure; and three lesser panels on each side, one over the other. The lowest of each of the side panels is a female chaurie bearer; the middle, a gandharva blowing a conch; and the upper, a yali with rider. The crowning central feature, or pediment to each set of panels, is a figure or Ganapati with yalis and riders, and flowing floral ornament on each side of the upper central figure.

The main cornice which surmounts each façade and extends round the building, is of no great projection; over it, is a blocking course; and on the cornice are small pedimental horse-shoe niche ornaments—placed at intervals—with a figure in each. All are supported by a row of gandharvas under the cornice. Some fragments of a superstructure remain over the blocking course. These fragments are, I believe, the remains of a tower similar to that on the "Dharmarâja's" ratha at Mâmallâpuram, which this building otherwise resembles. The massive piors in the Kailâsanâdha mahâmandapum are evidently intended to support some great superincumbent weight, and this most probably was, or was intended to be, a tower similar to that at the ratha named. The arrangements of plan and design of the lower façades of the two examples are exactly similar; and the theory that the Kailâsanâdha mahâmandapam had a tower similar to the ratha is not improbable, and seems to be supported by what evidence there is on the subject. (See Plates Nos. XXVI and L.)

The south elevation has the same general design as the east side, but the figures in the panels vary; in the lower right panel, Lakshmi is seated on a lotus flower, holding lotus buds, conch and chakra. In the tier of minor panels on each side, are chauries, elephants, gandharvas, and attendants.

The large left panel on the same elevation has Pârvati seated with a noose in her right hand, and a lotus bud in her left. Two chauries and umbrellas are over; the other parts of the panels are the same as those on the right. (Plate No. XXVI.)

The north side of the same mandapam has—in the large right panel—Parvati seated with two attendants on her right; and on her left are a bird, and lamp bearers; the symbols she holds are broken; the side panels are similar to the others.

The large left panel is of bold and good design and deeply undercut; it represents Pârvati standing with her left foot on the back of the lion; she has sixteen hands, each armed with a different weapon.

This seems to have been a favourite subject with the soulptors, and the treatment is always good. This panel is very similar to, but larger than Nos. XXXII and XXIX. (See also No. LI, Fig. 2.)

#### ARDHAMANDAPAM.

This building calls for only a passing notice; it is not contemporary with, or has any features in design common to the original building. The north and south walls are quite plain, without ornament of any kind; on the south side is a four-pillared raised verandah (see Plate No. XXVI), a door through which, enters the building. The east wall is built close to, and covers the sculptures that undoubtedly exist on the west wall of the mahdmandapam: a perforated window in the wall opens into the latter building. The inside is divided by two rows of six slender pillars of Vijianagar date. (See Plate No. XXIV and Fig. 2 in XXXIX). Several old inscribed stones are built into the floor and ceiling of the The principal shrine enters off the west end of the ardhamandapam, On each side of the shrine entrance is a chamber; these two are the original recesses—similar to those on the exterior of the vinána—between the north-east, and south-east corner shrines, and the east entrance to the great central shrine. Originally they would be visible from the outside; and the weatherworn appearance of their sculptures shows they have been. In the right recess—on the back wall,—is a four-armed Siva dancing: below, are his attendants; the minor panel on his right has Vishnu; and on the left panel is Brahma, both worshipping. (Plate No. LI, Fig. 1.)

The left side of the recess has Parvati on the lion, with attendants, and gandharvas on each side. The right side is covered with masonry.

The left recess has panels similar to the other, with Siva and minor worshipping deities on the back; Pârvati is on the right side of the recess, and the left is blocked up with masonry. This is a portion of that forming the north and south walls of the ardhamandapam. The panels blocked up, are those on the south side of the north-east corner shrine, and those on the north side of that at the south-east corner.

On the right side of the entrance to the main shrine containing the Kailasanadha kinga, is a dvarapala. On the back interior wall of the shrine, is a panel with seated figures of Siva, Parvati and child. Some brass figures are used on festival occasions. (Plate No. LII, Fig. 3.)

The vimina has the principal shrine in the centre; on the exterior is a lesser one at each corner; and another on each face, except the east, where the entrance to the central shrine is, and has always been. It now enters through the ardhamandapam, but before that building was erected, the entrance would be through the porch—similar to the exterior vimina shrines—direct from the open, and up a flight of steps.

Around the vimina, and in the recesses formed by the projecting exterior shrines, are a series of nandis placed on the ground, and facing the different quarters. (See Plates Nos. XXIV and XXVI.) The identity of this idea with that at the Mümallapuram "shore" temple has been noted (p. 20).

At each of the corners formed by the projecting shrines and extending around the vimina, is a series of triple yalis and riders, intended to represent a support and guard to the temple.

In describing the panels on the exterior ground storey of the vimana, it will be convenient to adopt a course similar to that used in noting these on the courtyard cells. Commencing, therefore, with the panels in the south-east corner shrine,—which enters on the east side,—the back panel represents a large kneeling figure of Siva—with eight arms—holding the usual weapons, noose, trident, sword, serpent and others undistinguishable.

On the left side is Brahma paying homage; under him, are two gandharvas, and what seems like a mountain with a figure seated on it, is on his left: two gandharvas are on his upper left side.

On the right side of the shrine is Vishņu and attendants, also worshipping Siva; the panel is too much weatherworn for the details to be made out. (Plates Nos. L1 and LIII to LXII.)

On the south exterior side of this shrine is a four-armed Siva, with Pârvati. Beneath Siva, are gandharvas, and over, an elephant. Above, is a small panel with an eight-armed Siva and gandharva. (Plate No. XXVI and Plates Nos. LI and LIII to LXII.)

On the south exterior wall of the central shrine, and in the space between the south-east corner shrine and that on the middle of the south side, are a six-armed Siva, and Parvati, seated with their feet on Vyadhi the god of sickness.

The panel on the right side of the same recess is supported on ydlis, and represents Lakshmi, with lotus buds. On the top, are gandharvas surrounded by a finely-cut floral ornament. (Plates Nos. XXVI, Ll and LIII to LXII.)

On the left side of the same recess is the entrance to the shrine on the centre of the south façade of the vimâna. On the left side of the door is a dvârapâla; over, are eleven sishyas. In the shrine—on the back—is Siva seated with his left foot on a gandharva; the platform on which he sits is supported by two yâli pillars. Brahmâ and Vishnu are in attendance, worshipping. On the left interior side, is Siva—in bridegroom's dress—seated on a bull, with attendants; a gandharva leads the animal.

. On the right side of the shrine is Pârvati seated on a pedestal supported by a yali on the left, and two gandharvas. She is shown dressed as a bride. The two panels seemingly represent the marriage of Siva and Pârvati.

On the south outside face of this shrine is Siva as a yôgi seated under a tree; he has four arms; in one of his left is a torch; beside him are birds, two deer and a snake under. The panel is supported on an elephant's head. The side panels have yôgis under, with ydis and ornament on top. (Plates Nos. XXVI and LXII.)

The west exterior side of the shrine has Vishnu seated with his foot on a pedestal; he and his attendants are worshipping Siva who is shown on the next panel.

The panel referred to, on the back of the recess formed by the shrine on the centre of the south façade of the vimana, and that at the south-west corner, is an exceedingly fine one; it shows Siva standing in a diamond-shaped recess; he has eight arms, which hold, in his right, serpent, axe, and noose; and in his left a large trident and other symbols; he wears the sun as a crown. The lesser panels adjacent to this central one, are occupied by various minor worshipping deities, with Brahmâ and others on the left, Vishnu and gandharvas on the right. Siva is supported by Vishnu, in his vardha avatar, with two hands

resting on the ground, and other two holding the conch and discus. (Plates Nos. XXVI and LXI.)

The left side of the recess is occupied by worshipping attendants.

The shrine at the south-west corner has, in the panel on the south exterior side, Siva as a beggar, with sandals on his feet; two females and Yôgi are worshipping. The whole is supported on an elephant's head. The panel over, has a ten-armed Siva, each hand with a symbol.

The south-west corner shrine enters from the west. The panel on the back of the interior is similar to that on the left side of the porch of the Mahandravarma shrine. It shows a Saivite figure—considerably larger than life size; he has matted hair, and carries a cloud banner, and three-booded naga; with trident and axe on his right, and a sun on his left. A female stands on each side, probably Pârvati, and Lakshmi. (Plate No LXI.)

The right interior side of the shrine has a panel with three male attendants; and the left side, three females with a cornice over.

The first space on the west side of the vimâna, between the south-west corner shrine, and the one on the centre of the west elevation, has Siva kneeling on a platform; a three-hooded snake is coiled round his right leg. He has ten arms; in his right are trident, drum, noose, and one supports a long thin shaft, which extends up on the right, into what looks like a chaurie,—but may be a cloud banner,—and goes behind the figure, right across the panel. In the left hands, are axe, torch, serpent, and another symbol somewhat like a skull. Three dancing gandharvas, armed with swords, are under. The minor panel on the right has Pârvati with her foot resting on a bull; two gandharvas are over. The minor left panel has a figure with a crown seated on two gandharvas, one of whom plays a flute and the other a conch. an attendant is in the upper right side of the panel.

The group is supported on an elephant's head. (Plate No. LI.)

On the right side of this recess is an eight-armed dancing Siva. On the left side of the same recess is Gapapati, with two gandharvas over. A female attendant stands in a panel on his left.

The shrine in the centre of the west side of the vindaa enters from the west side. It shows—on the back of the interior—a large figure of Siva, with six arms, and Pârvati. Siva holds in his right hands a dog, garland of skulls and serpent; a chauris—bearing gandharva is under. The upper left hands support Gangâ, and an elephant's skin. The left foot rests on an attendant. (Plate No. LIX.)

On the right side of this shrine is Vishnu armed with conch and discus; some attendants wait on him. The left side of the shrine has two attendants.

In the back of the recess between the centre shrine on the west façade of the vindna, and the shrine at the north-west corner, is an eight-armed Siva dancing, with Nandikésvara on his left, and a small gandharva on his right; Siva has a ndoa coiled round his neck. The gandharva is completely free of plaster, and shows very minute carving, the eyes, eyebrows, and teeth in the mouth are all cut in the stone. The lesser panel on the right of the central one, has Vishnu; in the panel on the left is Brahma. (Plate No. LVIII. See also Nos. LI, and I III to LXII).

()n the right side of the recess is Siva seated on a platform, supported by a gandharva. A long musical instrument rests across the left shoulder, and a serpent across the right.

The sculpture represents Siva as Arddhanarisvara, (half male and female). A female attendant occupies each side panel.

On the left side of the recess, a worshipper—holding a three-hooled serpent—sits on a mountain. They are supported by two gandharsas playing the flute and conok.

The shrine at the north-west corner of the vindaa, has, in the back interior panel, an eight-armed Siva seated on a chariot, drawn by two horses; the heads of the horses, and front of the vehicle are shown towards the front, with a wheel on each side. (Plate No. LIII. See also Nos. LI and LIII to LXII.)

The right side of the shrine, is occupied by a tier of two panels—five figures above, and seven below. The left wall has five figures in the upper half, and six in the lower. On the north exterior side of the north-west corner shrine is Siva crushing Vyadhi; above is a panel with two Saivite figures. (Plate No. LIV.)

On the north wall of the vimâna, in the recess between the north-west corner shrine, and that on the centre of the north face, is Siva and Pârvati. Siva has eight arms, and various symbols, including noose, bow, and umbrella: three dancing gandharvas are under.

The minor panel on the right, shows Pârvati seated on a lion. That on the left shows Lakshmî seated on a pedestal. A lion supports the group. (Plate No. LV, Fig. 1.)

On the left side of the recess is Siva as a beggar; an attendant and yali are in panels on each side.

On the north side of the central shrine, on the north façade of the vimâna, is Siva in yogdsana seated over a bhûta: these are supported on an elephant. The lower side panels have worshipping figures of Brahmâ on Siva's right; and Vishnu on his left. In the two upper side panels are a ydh and rider. (No. LV, Fig. 2. See also Nos. LIII to LXVIII.)

The shrine in the centre of the north side of the vindaa enters from the east. Inside, is a gravite platform for an image, with basement and cornice moulding. The panel on the back interior is the same as that in the centre shrine on the south façade of the vindaa. It represents Siva supported by gandharvas, and ydlis, with worshipping figures of Brahmâ, and Vishnu. The panels on the two interior sides are the same as those on the shrine referred to.

The recess on the north façade, between the north centre and north-east shrines, shows—on the back panel—Pârvati on a lion; the design is similar to those of the same subject already noted. She has ten arms; and carries an umbrella, with trident, noose, and sword in her right hands; one of the lower right rests on her thigh; the other is shown in abhayahasta. The left hands hold an axe, shield and serpent, while two other hands grasp and bend a long bow. She is ornamented with jewels on wrists, ankles, etc. The smaller panel on the left of the central one has a stout figure of Pârvati; over her right is Nandi-kêsvara; on her left is a female attendant. The lesser panel on the right of the larger, has Pârvati—in this instance, represented with a slender waist—seated on a pedestal supported by a pillar. On her right is Simhâ; on her left, what appears to be a bull. (Plate No. LVI and Nos. LIII to LVII.)

On the left side of the recess is a dvdrapála,

On the north exterior side of the shrine at the north-east corner of the *vimdna*, are Siva and Parvati. Siva has four arms, and rests one foot on a lotus. Two of his hands hold the elephant's skin over his crown.

The shrine enters from the east, and inside is a pittern for an image. The back and side panels are the same as those in the corresponding shrine on the south side of the *vindae* i.e., a kneeling Siva, worshipped on the side panels by Brahma and Vishnu.

These complete the sculptured panels around the exterior of the vimdna.

## VIMÂNA TOWER.

The basement is tormed of a granite sub-base; carved "gandharva" plinth, ornamented semi-octagonal base, small carved "elephant" blocks, in freestone; and sur-base in granite with Pallava Grantha inscriptions. (See Plates Nos. XXVI and LIV.)

In the recesses formed by the exterior shrines are the series of sandis already noted. These are large, and each cut out of a single block of freestons. The walls above the base, are covered with the sculptured panels just described. At each of the angles of the walls, and on each side of the panels are pilasters; those on the corpers have yalis.

The general elevation of the superstructure, is a small tower over each of the exterior shrines at the corners and façades; the tikharas over those at the corners are square; over those on the façades, they are semi-barrel shaped. Above these, on the main tower, is a storey with a series of two weather-worn soulptured panels, on each face of the central projection, and one at each corner; pilasters are at the corners. Over this, is a double cornice, with small tikharas; the storeys above are successively stepped back, forming a slight platform between each. The tikharas over the double cornice mentioned, are one on each face, and one at each corner. Over this, is a square portion with cornice, a nandi at each corner, a seated figure on each front, with small pediment on each front.

The whole tower is plastered over, but except in some of the details of the sculptures, there is no doubt but that the original design has been faithfully followed in the covering process. In many places where the plaster has been detached, but still partly adhering, the underlying stonework is generally the same as the plaster which covers it. The principal difference between the plaster and the stone is, that, where sculptures have been covered, the plaster work is much coarser in its execution, and only attempts to reproduce in outline or in a general way, the design of the stone sculpture underneath. The stone carvings are, without exception, beautifully and minutely chiselled, whereas, when these have been covered over, the small details of jewels or other ornaments have only been imitated by rough incisions in the wet plaster by a knife or some such instrument. The design and treatment of the various details of the tower are identical in style with similar features at the "Dharmarâja's" and other rather at Mâmallâpuram. There is an archaic peculiarity above these and other works of a like date, not to be mistaken.

<sup>100</sup> At the Mamallapuram "shore" temple, these nands are replaced by figures playing conches. The need's are shown on all the Kanchi Pallava temples.

# VAIKUNTHA PERUMAL TEMPLE, KANCHIPURAM.

Time building stands at the other and of the town, almost due east from the Kaila-sanadha temple, and a few hundred yards west from the Kanchipuram railway station.

In eise, archeological and architectural importance, it is—of the group of Pallava temples—second to the Kailasanadha. The most important and interesting feature in the building is the vindua tower (see Plato No. LXIII), which bears a distinct resemblance to the ancient vihdras.<sup>101</sup>

This winders has a tier of three shrines, one over the other.100

The lowest shrine is surrounded by three walls and two covered prakaras. The first or inner shrine wall encloses the three shrines through being carried right up above the ceiling of the uppermost one. The second wall encloses the covered first prakaras of the first and second upper shrines and forms an open platform round the third shrine. The third wall encloses the second covered prakars of the first shrine, is carried up and forms an open platform round the second shrine.

The general plan (Plate No. LXIV) is the shrine with double prakarus, enclosed in a courtyard with a covered verandah on the four sides. The shrine enters from the east through an archamandapem. On the east side of the courtyard is the mahdmandapem entirely roofed over, and open on its east and west sides only; a modern addition has been added to the front façade leaving a door only, open on that side. Some distance to the east, is an unfinished Vijayanagar gopuram; it is only carried up to the ceiling of the entrance door. On the external sides of the entrance is a raised platform, with a six-pillared verandah; two panels on the exterior are shown in No. LXV. To the west of the gopurambetween it, and the entrance to the temple through the mahdmandapam—are a small shrine, pitham, and dhvajastambam.

The exterior of the courtyard wall is divided into bays by yill pilasters, with pinnacles surmounting the wall. In the bays are niches, with carved pedimental ornament over. A moulded base surrounds the sides, and the wall head is capped by a double moulded cornice, with carved blocks and moulded blocking course over. (See Plates Nos. LXVI and LXVII.)

The treatment of design in the niches is similar to those on cave No. 21, at Mamalla-pursm.

The eastern wing of the mahdmandspam is comparatively modern; its piers are somewhat plain and of ordinary design. A small panel in the same building, and one of the piers are shown on Plate No. LXVIII, Pigs. 1 and 2. On the inner sides of the building are a series of six enclosed and two open chambers; these divisions are simply formed by stone and mud walls.

The ardhamandapam is attached to, and is the porch through which the shrine is entered. It has eight ydh piers, and four pilasters of a distinctive Pallava type. On the east side of the inner shrine wall is a panel, (Plate No. LXIX, Fig. 1), and others on the north and south sides of the second shrine wall. (Nos. LXX and Fig. 2 in LXIX.) The external prakdra walls of the shrine, and ardhamandapam are also panel soulptured. The upper portion of the tower is also similarly ornamented; the details of the several panels

<sup>101</sup> See Fergusson: Ind. and Res. Arch., p. 184, pl. 67.

<sup>166</sup> This arrangement is identical with this at the " Dharmordje's Baths !" in Mamallapuram.

The verandah surrounding the inner sides of the courtyard has thirty-four ydk piers similar to those in the ardhamandapam. (Plate No. LXXXVII.)

On the inner walls of the court is a continuous series of stone sculptured panels, representing scenes—evidently from one of the purdnas, but which, it is difficult to say. The general grouping of the whole, and style of the figures themselves, are very similar to those on the sculptured frescoes of "Arjuna's penance," and the other unfinished bas-relief near by, at Mâmallâpuram. (See Plates Nos. LXXXVIII to XCII.) The type of the figures seems very archaic, and some representations of temples resemble the "Bhima's" "Dharmarâjah's" and other rathas at Mâmallâpuram. Through the action of the temple priests in refusing admittance, to even the outer precincts, to a non-Hindu, a description of the panels from the originals cannot be given; such information therefore, as may be required about these and other details of the interior, can only be had by a reference to the drawings.

# MÂTANGÊŚVARA TEMPLE, KÂNCHÎPURAM.

This temple stands in the fields west from the hospital, and south-west from the Vaikuntha Perumal temple. There are no remains of a courtyard; and the plan is simply a small shrine with massive walls, and entrance through a pillared porch on the west side. (Plate No. XCIII.) In this respect the plan is similar to that of the Kailasanadha simulas. The side and back walls of the porch and shrine have a series of panels on each. (See Fig. 4 in LXXIV and Plates Nos. XCIV to XCVI and fig. 2 in XCVII.)

The shrine contains a black stone lings. Over it is the tower, which is hollow, with each successive course corballed over that immediately below it. The porch has four piers of the Pallava type, (Plates Nos. XCVIII and XCIX), each with a lion base, the tail of the lion being curved up the back of the pillar, similar to that on the curious yali shrine discovered during the excavations at the "shore" temple at Mâmallâpuram in 1884. Over the lion—on the piers—is an ornamental band with polygonal-sided necking, large projecting capital and a square abacus over. An inscription of a few letters is on each of the two front piers. The brackets over the pillars have filleted rolls, with upright ornamental band on each face; responding pilasters are on each side. Similar piers are common at the Seven Pagodas, and at some of the other Kâtichîpuram temples, but none are found at the Kailâsanâdha temple. The pillars under note, compared with those at the latter temple, show an advancement in the period of the style.

The back of the porch has pilasters responding to the detached piers, with figured panels on each side. (Plate No. XCV.) The ceiling is flat, with plain filleted and roll cornice. Between the two rear pillars is a small nandi; another, slightly larger, stands on the ground in front of the temple.

Around the exterior is a sub-base with plain panels; a square granite moulding is over; and sur-base, with plain and octagonal mouldings completes the basement: the upper member is a granite slab.

The walls over, are divided by pilasters, placed at intervals; those at the corners have ydie and riders. The north and east walls have Saivite single figure-sculptured panels between the pilasters; the south wall has the spaces for the figures uncarved.

There are two niches on the exterior sides and back, and one on each of the exterior sides of the porch. Sculptured floral ornaments surmount the niches; but those on the back, and some on the sides are uncarved, or only partly so. (Plate No. C.)

The cornice over, has small carved blocks at intervals, similar to other cornices before described.

The tower is square, and built in three storeys, with circular surmounting sikhara and kalata. (Plates Nos. CIX and C.)

The whole building is in stone, with comparatively little plaster remaining.

# MUKTÉSVARA TEMPLE, KÄNCHÎPURAM.

This shrine stands north from the Matangesvara, and north-west from the Vaikuntha Perumal temples.

The Muktesvara, and Matangesvara temples are in most respects, almost exactly similar. The shrine is square, with thick walls, and a four-pillared entrance porch on the west side. (Plate No. CI.) The two front piers in the porch, are of the yak form; the two back, are cylindrical. (Plate No. CII.)

In the shrine is a black stone lings. The inner side, and back walls of the porch and shrine, have Saivite sculptured panels. (Plates Nos. CIII to CV and Fig. 1 in XCVII.)

A tower, similar to that on the Matangesvara temple, rises over the shrine. (Plates Nos. CVI and CVII.)

The external walls of the porch and shrine are divided by pilasters, and sculptured with single figures. (Plate No. CVII.)

The base is similar to that on the other temple mentioned, and has inscriptions in Pallava Tamil.

A small pitham, and nandi mandapam stand in front. (Fig. 4 in Plate No. LXIX.)

# TRIPURÂNTAKÉŚVABA TEMPLE, KÂŃCHĪPURAM.

This is a small shrine in one of the streets between the Kachesvara, and great Siva temple.

The shrine is square, and, like the other ancient temples under note, is enclosed by very thick walls (Plate No. CVIII); the cell contains a linga; the floor of the porch and shrine is several feet above the ground level.

On the east side of the shrine is the porch, and,—blocking up its east entrance,—an unsightly modern brick mandapam erected without any attempt at the introduction of architectural features.

The original plan has been similar to, but slightly smaller than the two temples just before described; that is, with a shrine and pillared porch only.

On the interior back wall of the shrine is a sculptured panel (Fig. 1 in plate No. LII); and others are on the sides and back of the porch (Nos. CIX and CX). These are very spirited in their grouping and execution, and their identity in period and style with those on the other Paliava examples is at once evident: they represent scenes from the Saivite purdua.

The porch has sufficient projection to admit of two front piers only; the present supports are modern; the originals were most likely ydk piers, but they have disappeared. Some ydk bases lying in the courtyard of the Pandavaperumal temple—at no great distance from this one—have no connection with the temple in which they now are; these may probably have been the originals of the Tripurantakésvara porch. (Fig. 4 in plate No. LII.)

The brick mandapam, with its floor line close to the ground level, calls for no special remark, except as to the contrast it offers to the ancient building to which it is attached. It only serves as an example of the degeneracy of some modern Hindu constructive work. It must be much less than a century old, if even that.

The base surrounding the old temple, is the same as those round the two previously described temples; on its usual upper square granite course are inscriptions in Pallava Tamil. The walls are built of a soft reddish freestone—identical with that used at the Kailâsanâtha temple. It has suffered severely from the weather; and the resulting hollows in the soulptures have been filled in with bricks and plaster; the portions remaining intact, are however, distinct in their Pallava character. The interior panels are particularly good and in complete preservation, and leave no doubt as to their classification.

The external walls of the porch and shrine are covered with sculptured panels. (Nos. CXI, CXII.)

The vimina is evidently built in stone, though now plastered over. At each of the four corners of the top of the tower next the hikhara, is the usual nand; and other two over the front wall of the porch. (Plate No. CXIII.) Inside the mandapam, are two figures of Ganéta, and Thandakétvara. (Plate No. CXIV.)

A nandi mandapam with pithame stand on the east side.

Around the modern courtyard are some recent brick erections, undeserving of notice.

The details of the architecture would seem to show this temple—particularly the tower—slightly later in date than the others.

# AIRAVATÉSVARA TEMPLE, KÂNCHÎPURAM.

Is close to the north entrance of the Kacheevara temple, and is the smallest and most incomplete of the Pallava examples in Kanchipuram.

The plan is a shrine with simple unpillared porch (Plate No. CXV), having its entrance from the west.

The panels on the interior back and sides of the shrine, and those on the sides of the porch are in fairly good preservation, and are Saivite in character. (Plates Nos. CXVI, CXVII and figs. 1, 2 and 3 in plate No. CXVIII.)

The panels on the exterior are almost entirely worn away and filled in with brickwork and plaster; those in anything like good preservation are shown in Plate No. CXIX. The striking similarity of the style of these, to some at the Kailasanadha temple, is quite apparent.

The base surrounding the building is the usual one. There are no remains of a tower over the shrine.

This temple is small, with few of its details remaining thoroughly intact, but the architectural and sculptural features that do still exist, show its Pallava origin.

This completes the list of known existing Pallava structural temples in the once capital city of Southern India.

Mention has been however made of the examples remaining at Tiruppedikunram near Kanchipuram, and Kuram in the same district.

The first of these is a small building with few architectural details, and no sculptures worthy of note. A peculiarity about it, due to modern additions, is the blocking up of the original shrine on the ground floor, and constructing another in the upper storey of the tower with a stair leading up to it. The temple seems of late Pallava date.

# PERUMÂL TEMPLE, KÛRAM.

This temple is situated 9 miles north-north-west of Kanchipuram.

The original plan has been comprised in a shrine and porch only. This has been the usual arrangement in all these temples; and any other accessory buildings were alway built detached from the central shrine.

A mandapam and open porch, with small shrine, dhvajastambam, and pithams have been added in the Vijayanagar period. (Plate No. CXX.)

For present purposes the original building only, need be noted.

A slight resemblance to the characteristics of Pallava architecture presents itself in the building, but in an advanced period of the style; in fact, such as would almost seem to place the temple at an early Chôla period.

The basement is different from those universally seen in the Kanchipuram examples, and follows more after some temples of obvious Chôla date,—such as Manimangalam, Tennêri, etc. The continuous sculptured panels on the exterior walls are wanting, and their place is filled by a single niche on each of the façades, again resembling the Chôla temples noted. The panels on the interior of the porches, and shrines of the early temples have no existence here.

The yalis at the corners are a connecting link between late Pallava, and early Chôla architecture; and we see them here, though in a form slightly modified from those of earlier examples.

The blocks on the cornice again, have lost the distinctive horse-shee form, universal at Māmallāpuram and Kānchīpuram; and the later leaf ornament under, replaces the row of gandharvas seen on the others.

The tower wants the pyramidal massiveness peculiar to early Pallava architecture, and its more elongated form is an advance in this respect, on that at the Kanchipuram Tripuranta-kewara.

The nandis on the upper storeys are replaced by figures of Garuda. (Plate No. CXXI.) The evidence of the copperplate <sup>100</sup> formerly in possession of the temple, but now in the Madras Museum, would seem to place this building in the list of Pallava examples. It is, however, a very doubtful specimen, and, if in reality Pallava, must be one of the very latest examples. It may have been commenced by the Pallavas and completed by the Chôlas, though the lower members of the basement—being different from the usual form—would not seem even to support this view.

A very considerable period must have elapsed between the completion of the Kanchtpuram temples, and the foundation of this one; and, in the interval, the distinctive features of the early Pallava would be merging into the Chôla style: this may account for the change.

Everything therefore, seems to point to the temple being of a transitional period in the two styles of architecture.

<sup>100</sup> South Ind. Inc., Vol. I, pp. 144 and 155.

BOUTH EAST VIEW OF KAILASANADHA TEMPLE.

EANCHIPURAM




NORTH-EAST VIEW OF KAILASANADHA TEMPLE. Kanchipuram


FIL FA TO BY OF FAILASANADHA IBWEED RANCHIPURAM

Pares of Irda Cifees Calruta, Jenoer 1850


Survey at audin. Offices, Calcuma, November, 1908



BACK VIEW OF KAILÂBANÂDHA TEMPLE, KÂÑCHÍFURAM.



VIEW OF SECOND MAIN ENTRANCE GOPURAM, KAILASANADHA TEMPLE,
KANCHIPURAM



SOUTH CORRIDOR FROM THE EAST, AND POPTION OF MAIN SHRINE NA LASANNEHA TEMPLE

# NANCHIPURAM





Botolower

Survey of India Offices Calcutta Rovember 1901

WEST CORRIDOR FROM THE SOUTH, AND PORTION OF MAIN SHRINE, KAILAS ANADHA TEMPLE, KANCHÎPURAM.

دانها مستعمد المساماء به المام عمليا كالمعاشدة






Survey of lades Offices, Unicome, November, 1508 NORTH CORRIDOR FROM THE EAST, AND PORTION OF MAIN SHRINE, KAILASANADHA TEMPLE, KANCHIPURAM.




VIEW OF MAIN SHRINE, KAILASANADHA TEMPLE,

KANCHIPURAM



Survey of Index offices to Journal Wombers Bibits




PIERS IN THE FRONT MANDAPAM, KAILASANADHA TEMPLE, KANCHIPURAM



Photogravus

PANELS ON THE BACK OF THE GARBHAGRIBAM, KAILASANADHA TEMPLE, KANCHIPURAM




Survey of India Offices, Calcutte, November, 190

PANELS ON THE NORTH SIDE OF THE GARBHAGRIHAM, KAILASANADHA TEMPLE, KANCHÎPURAM

SOUTH-WEST VIEW OF VAIRUNTHA PERUMAI TEMPLE,


KANCHIPURAN

SOUTH-EAST VIEW OF VAIRUNTHA PERUMÂL TEMFLE. KÂÑCHÎPURAM



: Survey of finite. Offices, Calcutta, Decamben, 1801

SOUTH-WEST VIEW OF MATANGÉSVARA TEMPLE KÄNCHÎPURAM.



BOUTH BART VIEW OF MATANCÉSVARA TEMPLE KÂÑCHÎPURAM.





Survey of India Officers Calcutta, Deverabor, 1803 SOUTH-WEST VIEW OF MUKTESVARA TEMPLE KÂÑCHIPURAM



Photogravure

Survey of India Offices, Calcutta, December 1901

SOUTH EAST VIEW OF MUKTESVARA TEMPLE
KÂÑCHÎPURAM.

## MANCHIPURAL

## NORTH WEST VIEW OF TRIPURANTARESVARA TEMPLE

Survey of Inche Offices Calentia, Derrunber 1903



TWO PILLER BASES AT ADITIA-TIRTHA TAME. KACHRSVARA TEMPLE.

KANCHIPURAM.





FIG. 1.

F16. 8.


Scale of 13



PILLAR IN A MANDAPAM IN ŚINGARANÍR ŌDAI STREST. KÂÑCHÎPURAM.









•


PLATE XXIV.

KAILASÂNÂHA TEMPLE. Bar Bxebiob Blevation. Kânchîpurah.




KAILĀSANĀHA TEMPLE, South Frevation. Kānghipudan.





#### KAILASANADHATEMPLE. PANEL ON LEFT SIDE OF ENTBANCE TO GARBHAGRIHAM. KÂNCHÎPURAM.



Scale of 19 6 9 8 4 6 Per

#### KAILÄSANÁDHA TEMPLE. PANEL OF LEFT BAST DOORWAY TO LARGE COURTYARD. KÄÑCHÎPURAM.




15 ' \$ ... 1 5 Post


#### KAILASANADHA TEMPLE. PAMEL ON RIGHT EAST DOORWAY TO LARGE COURT. KANCHIPURAM.



Scale of 19 6 1 2 3 Foo



## RAILASANÀDHA TEMPLE. Parris on South Side of Court (Not. I and S prom Bary Med). RANCHIPURAM.



#### KAILÂSANAHA TEMPLE.

6TH AND 7TH PANELS FROM EAST END OF COURT, SOUTH SIDE. KAÑCHÎPURAM.

P10. 1.



P10. 9.



10th and 11th Panels from East and of Court South Side.






4TH AND 5TH PANELS FROM BAST 2ND OF GOURT, SOUTH F10. 6.








#### PANELS 12 AND 13 TROM HART RWD OF SOUTH SIDE OF COURT. RANCHIPURAM. KAILÂSANÂDHA TEMPLE.





Scote of 15 %

Panels 14TH and 15TH PROM BAST BND OR SOUTH SIDE OF COURT. KANCHIPURAN. KAILÁSANÁHA TEMPLE.






Fm. 1.


Pro. 9

## KAILĀSANĀHA TBMPLE.


Panele 16th and 17th from Bast end of South Side of Court. KANCHİPURAM.



P10. 9.



Kailābanādra temple. Sover Ipperior Brevarion of Covertard. Kanchāpuran.



### KAILASANĀDHA TEMPLE.

PANELS ON SOUTH SIDE OF COURT (NOS. 18 AND 19 PROM BAST END).

KANCHIPUBAM.






FIG. 1.

Estar M By 6

Pert

Fig. 2.

10TH PARK PROM MART BED OF WEST SIDE OF COURT.

BOTH PAREL FROM BAST RND OF NORTH SIDE OF COURT. KANCHÎPUBAN



PILLAR IN ARDDHAMANDAPAM.



the Parks show Mast and or Mosta Sids or Coust.




Scale for Puller.



Scale for Panale

PARELE 18 AND 19 PROM BAST END OF WRST SIDE OF COURT. KANCHIPURAM.



Pic. 2.




Fro. 1.

Scale of 13 % 6 3

PANELS 16 AND 17 FROM END OF COURT, NORTH SIDE. KAILASANADHA TEMPLE. KANCHÎPURAM.





### KAILASANADHA TEMPLE.

# PANELS ON NORTH SIDE OF COURT (NOS. 12 AND 13 PROM EAST END).

#### KANCHIPURAM.




F19. 2.


FIG. 1.

Ocule of 13

#### KAILASANADHA TBMPLE.

PANELS ON NORTH SIDE OF COURT (NOS. 8 AND 9 FROM BAST BED). KANCHÎPURAM.





Scale of 19

### PANELS ON NORTH SIDE OF COURT (NOS. 6 AND 7 PROM HART BUD). KANCHIPURAM. KAILÂSANÂDHA TEMPLE.






F16. 2.

State of 11 6 Contraction

## KAILABANADHATEMPLE. PANELS ON NORTH SIDE OF COURT (NOS. 4 AND 5 PROM BAST END). KANCHIPURAM.



F10. 2.



Fig. 1.

Roale of 19 6

## PANELS ON FRONT OF 2ND & 3RD CELLS FROM EAST END OF LARGE COURT (NORTH SIDE). KAILASANADHA TEMPLE.

KÅNCHÎPUBAM.




Pro 6




Trop

Scale of 15



eri


A CRLL CR SOUTH SIDE OF COURT.



Fic. 2

Pro 1.

#### KAILÂSANÂDHA TEMPLE. WINDOW IN EAST END OF ARDDHANAŅDAPAM. KÄÑCHÎPURAM.




. Scale of 19 6 . 1 9 8 4 Feet.

KAILASANAHA TEMPLE.


EAST ELEVATION OF MAHAMANDAPAN.

KANCHİPUBAM.



E Peet

# PANEL 122 TO RIGHT OF BACK CRITRAL SHRINE. KAILÂSANÂHA TEMPLE. KANCHIPURAM.




Fre 1.

Scale of Party Assertion

PARSE ON MORTH SIDE OF MAHAMANDAPAM.

F13. \$

# TRIPURANTAKBŠVARA TEMPLE. PAPEL OF BACK OF THE SERIES. KANCHEL



KAILASANAHA TEMPLE PANCHALOGA IMAGES. F10. 2.







SUBBANKANTA.

VALLI

PANDAVA PERUMAL TEMPLE.

YALL BASE.



PIRE STANDING IN

RAIN STREET OF VIRENT KARCHI.

Scale for Perel 2 Yells, and Senashelidel

Section.

## KAILÂSANADHA TEMPLE PANEL IN SEBINE AT NORTH-WEST CORNER OF VIMÎNA. KAÑCHÎPÜRAM.




Seale of 19

#### KAILASANADHA TEMPLE.

PANEL ON NORTH SIDE OF SHRINE AT NORTH-WEST CORNER OF VIMÎNA.


KÂNCHÎPURAM.




PAREL SND PROM NORTH-WEST CORNER OF VIMANA, NORTH SIDE. KAILASANAHA TEMPLE.

1

KANCHÎPURAM.



PANEL SED FROM NORTH-WEST CORMER OF VIMANA, NORTH SIDE




Fac.

FIG :


Scale of II 6

Scale for 2 Panels.


# KAILASANADHATEMPLE. 4TH PANEL FROM NORTH-WEST COENER OF VIMANA, NORTH SIDE. KANCHIPURAM.



Scale of 18 6 1 2 3 4 5 Feet



#### KAILÂSANÂDHA TEMPLE. 2nd Panel from North-West Cornes of Vimâna, West Side. KAÑCHÎPURAM.




Scale of 12 9 6 5 1 9 3 6 6 6 Feet

# KAILÄSANÄDHA TEMPLE. PAWEL IN CENTRAL SERINE ON WEST SIDE OF VIMINA. KANCHIPÜRAM.



# KAILASANADHA TEMPLE. 2nd Panel from South-West Commer of Vimana, South Side. KANCHIPURAM.




Boale of 19 9 8 3 1 2 8 4 5 6 7 8 9 Feet,


## PANEL ON BACK OF SHEINE AT SOUTH-WEST CORNER OF VIMÂNA. KÂNCHÎPURAM.




icalo of 18 6 1 2 3 4 5 Pag

# KAILÂSANÂDHATEMPLE. PANEL ON FRONT OF CENTRE SERINE. SOUTH SIDE OF VIMÂNA. KÂÑCHÎPURAM.













State of 12 and and 1




VAIKUNŢĻAPBRUMAL TBMPLE. Elivatiof of Beterior Coustwals mear nores. KÅKCHÎPURAM.



SCULPTURE ON TOP OF A NICHE ON SCUTH EXTREIOR SIDE OF COURT WALL. KÂNCHÎPURAM. VAIKUNŢĦA PERUMÂL TEMPLE.



# VAIKUŅŢHA PERUMĀL TEMPLE. PILLAB AND PANEL IN WEST FORCE. KANCHÎPURAM.




F10. 1.

#### VAIKUŅŢHAPERUMĀL TEMPLE. PAREL ON BAST SIDE OF INNER SERIES WALL. KANCHÎPURAM.

PANEL ON NORTH SIDE OF SED INNER SERIES WALL.





VAIKUNTHAPERUMÂL TEMPLE.

5TH PANEL TO LEFT OF CENTRE OF SED NORTH SHRINE WALL



MUKTÉSVARA TEMPLE. NANDI MANDAPA.




VAIKUNTHAPERUMÂL TEMPLE.



F10. 5.

# VAIKUNTHAPERUMÄL TEMPLE. PAREL ON SOUTH SIDE OF SHD INHER SERINE WALL. KÄNCHÎPURAM.



basic of 15 , 6 1 9 6 9 Page.

#### VAIKUNTHAPERUMÄL TEMPLE. PANEL AT SOUTH-WEST CORNER OF WEST WALL OF SHRINE. KÂÑCHÎPURAM.

Two Panels on South Wall of Abdeamandapan





Fio. 1.

F10. 2.

FIG

6TH PANEL TO LEFT OF CENTER SED NORTH SERINE WALL.

OF SAN THE TO RIGHT OF CENTRE OF SAN TERM OF SAN TERMS









Pto 4.

F19. 5.

P10 6.

Scale of 18 6 1 8 8 6 6 7 8 8 10 1/hos.

VAIKUNŢŅAPRRUMĀĻ TRMPLR. 6th Panel to Lept of Centre of Sid (Outer) Shrine Wall, South Side. KÂNCHÎPURAM.



#### VAIKUNŢŅAPĒRUMĀĻ TEMPLE. AND PANEL TO EIGHT OF CENTRE OF SED NORTE SERINE WALL. KĀNCHÎPURAM.



#### Panels on let Biorst of Vigâna Nos. 16, 17, and 18, to Right of Bours-West Corner. Râñchîpuram








F10. 1.

Fac. 2

D. . .

#### MATANGÉSVARA TEMPLE LEST PANEL IN FORCE

VAIRUNTHAPERUMÂL TEMPLE 2nd Panel at Lept of Centre of Sed North String








Pis s.

Sode of 15 6 0 1 2 3 6 5 6 7 6 5 10 11 Yes

# VAIKUNTHAPERUMÂL TEMPLE. 6TH PANEL TO RIGHT OF CENTRE E, 2nd SHRINE WALL. KÂNCHÎPUBAM.




Scale of 19 9 6 8 1 9 9 4 6 6 7 8 Peet.

# VAIKUŅŢHA PBRUMĀĻ TEMPLE.

IST AND 3RD PANELS TO LEFT OF CENTRE ON NORTH 3RD SHRINE WALL.

5TH PANEL TO RIGHT OF CENTRE ON NORTH 3RD SHRINE WALL.

# KANCHÎPURAM.







Scale of 13 6

F10. 1.

#### VAIKUŅŢHAPERUMĀL TEMPLE. PANDL OF SHRINE WALLS. KANCHÎPURAN.

TRIED SOUTH WALL OF SHRINE.

2ND TO RIGHT OF CENTRE.



STR TO RIGHT OF CENTRE.







5TH TO RIGHT OF CRNIRE








F10. 6.

6TH PANEL TO RIGHT OF CENTRE ON

SOUTH FACE OF SED SERING WALL.

KANCHÎPURAM.









Scale of 12 6

# VAIKUŅŢHA PERUMÂL TEMPLE.


CORNER PANELS ON SOUTH WALL OF 1ST AND ARDDHAMANDAPAM.

IST AND SND PANELS FROM SOUTH-WEST CORNER ON WEST WALL OF ARDDHAMANDAPAM.

KANCHÎPUBAM.







Fro. 8.

. 2

Fig. 1.

Scale of 19

### VAIKUŅŢHAPBRUMĀL TEMPLE. Morth. West Corner of Arddhamandafam, the Corner Panels on Projection KĀNCHÎPURAM.






ITS PANEL TO RIGET ON WEST WALL OF AS-DILYKAYDAPAN

Fig. 1

F10. 2.

F10. 3.

#### PARELS TO RIGHT OF SOUTH-WEST CORNER OF 1ST STOREY OF VIMANA.



Acute of 12 6 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 6 9 10 12 Part

# VAIKUNTHA PERUMÂĻ TEMPLE.


FOUR PANELS ON SOUTH SIDE OF 1ST STOREY OF VIMANA-TO RIGHT OF SOUTH-WEST CORNER. KANCHÎPURAM.



Panels on ist Storet of Vinana 5th to 7th to Right of South-West Corner. RANCHIPURAM. VAIKUNŢHA PERUMÂL TEMPLE.



Panels on 187 Storlt of Vinana 1278 to 1578 to Right of South-West Corner. KANCHIPURAM. VAIKUŅŢHA PERUMÂL TEMPLE.



#### VAIKUNTHAPERUMÂL TEMPLE.


PLATE LXXXIV.

PANELS ON 18T STOREY OF VIMARA 19TH TO 22ND TO RIGHT OF SOUTH-WEST CORNER.

KÂNCHÎPURAM.







Pig 1.

F10 2.

Fro 3


Fig 4

PANEL ON WEST SHRINE WALL AT NORTH-WEST CORNER.



9 RELESSED PANELS ON NORTH WALL OF ARDDHAMANDAPAM.






F10 8.

F10. 6

F10. 7.

Stale of 12 + 4 5 ; 1 2 3 4 5 7 5 9 10 Free

Stub Riverstod of KANCHIPURAN.



VAIKUNŢHA PERUMÂL TEMPLE. FIGURES IN THE IST STORET OF VIMÂNA. KÂNCHÎPURAM.





FiG. 3.

### VAIKUNTHAPERUMAL TEMPLE

I PIER IN WEST VERANDA IN COURTTARD. (FRONT AND SIDS),


### KANCHIPURAM.




E Tes g gn. Scale of 12 6


VAIKUNTHAPERUMÁL TEMPLE 2 Panels on Inside of West-Noris Wall at North-West Corner.

KANCHIPURAM.



# VAIKUNTRAPERUMÁL TEMPLE. PARELS ON TWO WALLS AT SOUTE-WEST CORNER OF COURT.






In 1


NB.-A. Jame to B. and C. Jame to D.

On return well at Bouth-West Corner.





### VAIKUNTHAPBRUMÄL TEMPLE. Pannia ov Norte Wall and Snall Resern Wall at West of Courp. Känchípuram.



719

N.B - A Jone to B and C Jame to El.






Fig. 1,




F10. 1.




u io B

Pa. 1.



MATANGÉSVARA TEMPLE, Panzes on Side Walls of Garzhaghiham, KÅNCLIPURAM.




23

MATANGBSVARA TEMPLE. Panels in Antarâla Mansafau. KÂNCHÎPURAM.



### MATANGÈSVARA TEMPLE. PANELO ON BACK OF GARBHAGBIHAM. KÂÑCHÎPUBAM.




deals of 13 6 · 1 2 3 4 5 b 7 Fee

### MUKTÉSVARA TEMPLE. PANSUS AT RIGHT OF PORCE KÂÑCHÎPURAM




Fig. 1

### MATANGÊSVARA TEMPLE. PANELS AT RIGHT OF PORCE.





F10 2.

### MATANGŠEVARA TEMPLE. Inum Pillar in the Antarlamandapam. Kānchīpuram.




### MATANGÉŠVARA TEMPLE. West Elevation. KÄÑCHÍPURAM





MUKTESVABA TEMPLE. Pront Pillar in the Poede. KÅNCHÎPURAM.



## MUKTÊSVARA TEMPLE. PANEL ON LEFT SIDE OF GARSHAGEHAM. KANCHÎPURAM.




Scale of 12 6

### MUKTŘÍVABA TEMPLE. PANEL ON THE BACK OF THE ENRINE. KÂÑCHÎPUBAM.



Fig. 1.

PANEL ON THE BACK OF THE PORCE.




F10. 2.


Standord 13




MUKTÉSVARA TEMPLE.
FRONT ELEVATION
KÂÑCHÎPURAM.




MUKTĖŠVARA TEMPLE. North Side Blevation. KÄNCHÎPURAM.




Scale of 10 9 8 7 6 3 6 3 5 1 10 20 Pest



## TRIPURANTAKESVARA TEMPLE. PANELS IN AEDHAMANDAPAM. KÂÑOHÎPURAM.






2 7




Scale of 13 Sociations

### TRIPURÂNTAKŠÉVARA TEMP B. PARELE ON WRST SIDE. KÂÑCHÎPURAM.



Pm. 1


### PANPLE ON NORTH SIDE.




F1G. 3.


TRIPURÂNTAKÊŠVARA TEMPLE.

PANELS ON SOUTH SIDE. KÂNCHIPURAM.





13 Feet. Scale of 12 6





je.






AIRAVATESVARA TEMPLE.


PARELS ON SIDES OF SHRINE,

KÂNCHÎPÜRAM.





### AIRÂVATÊSVARA TEMPLE. PANELE ON BACK OF GARBHAGRIBAM. KÂÑCHÎPURAM.



Scale of 12 6 1 2 3 4 5 Feet.



AIRÂVATÉŠVARA TEMPLE.
PANSES ON SIDES OF ANTARÂLAMAŅDAPAM.
KÂÑCHÎPURAM.






7 %


### AIRÂVATÊŠVARA TEMPLE.

PANELS ON SIDE WALLS.
KANCHIPUBAM.

ON NORTH SIDE.



ON SOUTH SIDE.





F16. 2

Scale of IV 9 6 3


& Peet.

KÛBAM.





### BLACK STONE WINDOW AT A SMALL SURINE IN WAST END OF COUNTYARD. RÂNCHÎPUBAM.



Seelo of 15 9 8 8 9 7 Pert.

### PANRLE 20TR, 21st, AND 22NO, FROM EAST RED OF SOUTH SIDE OF COURT, KANCHIPURAM.







Fig. 1
Panylis 14th and 15th from East and of North Side of Court.





Fig. 4. Fig. 5.
Panels Righ., D. 91h from East fnd of South Sidk of Court






Fro. 6.

P10 7

KAILÅSANÄHA TEMPLE.

WEST INTERIOR REFVATION OF COURTIARD KANCHITCH



Stade of 16 section 15

### बीर सेवा मन्दिर

274	REA
AEA ALE	XANDER
	architecture
मायक	4623
संपर्ध क्रम	संस्था